arXiv:1610.09429v1 [math.CT] 28 Oct 2016

On the notion of flat 2-functors

Descotte M.E., Dubuc E.J., Szyld M.

Abstract

In this paper we develop the 2-dimensional theory of flat functors. We define a 2-functor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$ to be *flat* when its *left bi-Kan extension* $\mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{C}at) \xrightarrow{P^*} \mathcal{C}at$ along the Yoneda 2-functor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{C}at)$ is *left exact*. $\mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{C}at)$ denotes the 2-category of 2-functors, 2-natural transformations and modifications. By left bi-Kan extension we understand the bi-universal pseudonatural transformation $P \Rightarrow P^*h$, and by left exact we understand preservation of finite weighted bilimits. Let $(\mathcal{A},\ \Sigma)$ be a pair where $\mathcal{A}$ is a 2-category and Σ is a distinguished 1-subcategory. A σ-cone for a 2-functor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{B}$ is a lax cone such that the 2-cells corresponding to the distinguished arrows are invertible. The *σ-limit* of F is a universal σ-cone (characterized up to isomorphism). We introduce a notion of 2-filteredness of $\mathcal{A}$ with respect to Σ, which we call *σ-filtered*. Our main result states the following:

A 2-functor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$ is flat if and only if there is a σ-filtered pair $(\mathcal{I}^{op},\ \Sigma)$, a 2-diagram $\mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{X} \mathcal{A}$, and P is pseudo-equivalent to the σ-colimit of the composition $\mathcal{I}^{op} \xrightarrow{X} \mathcal{A}^{op} \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at)$.

Our result establishes that the 2-category of *points* of the "2-topos" of 2-presheaves $\mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{C}at)$ (with $\mathcal{A}$ small) is equivalent to the 2-category σ-$\mathcal{P}ro(\mathcal{A})$ of σ-pro-objects of $\mathcal{A}$. In other words, the 2-category of *models* is equivalent to the 2-category σ-$\mathcal{I}nd(\mathcal{A}^{op})$ of σ-ind-objects of $\mathcal{A}^{op}$. This fact would be essential for the development of the theory of 2-topoi, since (as Joyal pointed to us) a 2-topos could be defined as a left exact 2-localization of a 2-category of 2-preshaves.

Introduction

Size issues are in general not relevant to us in this paper, but we indicate the smallness assumption when it applies. The paper is concerned with the notion of flat functor in the context of 2-categories. Given a 2-functor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$ from a 2-category $\mathcal{A}$ with values in the 2-category $\mathcal{C}at$ of small 1-categories, we want to define when it should be considered *flat*, and prove a theorem that characterizes flatness using appropriate notions of *filteredness* and *pro-representability*. Recall that a $\mathcal{S}et$-valued functor is flat when its left Kan extension along the Yoneda embedding is left exact (this being equivalent to its discrete cofibration being a cofiltered category). This notion is considered in [18, § 6] for $\mathcal{V}$-enriched categories in general, and in particular for $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{C}at$. However, the fundamental equivalence with appropriate notions of filteredness for $\mathcal{V}$-categories and pro-representability of $\mathcal{V}$-functors is lost. Furthermore, as it is usually the case, the $\mathcal{C}at$-enriched version is too strict, and a relaxed notion is the important one.

We emphasize that 2-dimensional category theory is radically different that the theory of $\mathcal{C}at$-enriched categories, which, as well as the theory of $\mathcal{V}$-enriched categories for any $\mathcal{V}$, is a part of 1-dimensional category theory.

Though our original objective was to have results for 2-functors, the notion of *pseudofunctor* imposed upon us as the correct generality in which to define flatness in the 2-dimensional

context. However, for the sake of simplicity in many calculations we work primarily with 2-functors. We note that no generality is lost since, while we prove our main theorem (Theorem 4.2.10) for 2-functors, we obtain as a corollary the corresponding theorem for pseudofunctors in an appendix.

We define a pseudofunctor to be *left exact* if it preserves finite weighted bilimits. For a pseudofunctor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$, we define the left bi-Kan extension (as already considered in [21]) pseudofunctor $\mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{C}at) \xrightarrow{P^*} \mathcal{C}at$ along the Yoneda 2-functor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{C}at)$ (namely, the bi-universal pseudonatural transformation $P \Rightarrow P^*h$, where $\mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{C}at)$ is the 2-category of 2-functors, 2-natural transformations and modifications). Note that since weighted bilimits exist in $\mathcal{C}at$ this is actually a *pointwise* bi-Kan extension. Furthermore, $\mathcal{C}at$ bilimits can be chosen to be pseudolimits, and it follows then that when P is a 2-functor, P^* can be chosen to be a 2-functor. Also note that from this definitions it follows that flatness (that is, left exactness of the left bi-Kan extension) is preserved by pseudonatural equivalences, that we refer to as pseudo-equivalences.

Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$ be a 2-functor, consider the 2-Grothendieck construction $\mathcal{E}l_P \xrightarrow{\Diamond_P} \mathcal{A}$ and the family $\mathscr{C}_P$ given by the (co)cartesian morphisms, note that we abuse the notation and consider this family both in $\mathcal{E}l_P$ and $\mathcal{E}l_P^{op}$. A crucial result that opened the door for the intended research of this paper was the realization of the following, which is reflected in Propositions 2.3.14 and 2.4.16 of Section 2:

Given a 2-functor $\mathcal{A}^{op} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{C}at$, the category of pseudo-dicones for the 2-functor $\mathcal{A}^{op} \times \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F \times P} \mathcal{C}at$ is isomorphic to the category of lax cones for the 2-functor $\mathcal{E}l_P^{op} \xrightarrow{\Diamond_P^{op}} \mathcal{A}^{op} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{C}at$ such that the structural 2-cells corresponding to $\mathscr{C}_P$ are invertible.

This fact led us to consider a general notion that we call *σ-natural transformation*, already defined in [14]. Let $(\mathcal{A},\ \Sigma)$ be a pair where $\mathcal{A}$ is a 2-category and Σ a distinguished 1-subcategory. A σ-natural transformation is a lax natural transformation such that the structural 2-cells corresponding to the arrows of Σ are invertible. This notion led in turn to the notion of weighted σ-limit, which became an essential tool for our work in this paper.

We establish a 2-categorical version of the classical canonical expression of $\mathcal{S}et$-valued functors as colimits of representable functors, namely: Any 2-functor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$ is pseudo-equivalent to a conical σ-colimit (in $\mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at)$) of representable 2-functors. The indexing pair is $(\mathcal{E}l_P^{op},\ \mathscr{C}_P)$.

We introduce a notion of 2-filteredness for pairs $(\mathcal{A},\ \Sigma)$ that we denote by σ-filteredness. When $\mathcal{A}$ has finite weighted bilimits and P is left exact, the pair $(\mathcal{E}l_P^{op}, \mathscr{C}_P)$ is σ-filtered, in other words the σ-colimit in the canonical expression of P is a σ-filtered σ-colimit.

We prove a key result that establishes that a σ-filtered σ-colimit of flat 2-functors is flat. This follows from the commutativity (up to equivalence) of σ-filtered σ-colimits with finite weighted bilimits in $\mathcal{C}at$, established in [9].

Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$ be a 2-functor. Our main theorem states that the following are equivalent:

(i) The pair $(\mathcal{E}l_P^{op}, \mathscr{C}_P)$ is σ-filtered.
(ii) P is pseudo-equivalent to a σ-filtered σ-colimit in $\mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at)$ of representable 2-functors.
(iii) P is flat.

If $\mathcal{A}$ has finite weighted bilimits, then it is also equivalent:

(iv) P is left exact.

Note that, since σ-bilimits are defined up to equivalence, item (ii) above is equivalent to (ii') P is a σ-filtered σ-bicolimit in $\mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at)$ of a strict diagram of representable 2-functors.

Recall that a Grothendieck topos is a left exact localization of a category $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{S}et)$ of presheaves, where $\mathcal{A}$ is a small category, and that the category of models (opposite of the category of points) of the presheaf topos is equivalent to the category of ind-objects of $\mathcal{A}^{op}$.

For a small 2-category $\mathcal{A}$, a *point* or *model* of the "2-topos" $\mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at)$ of 2-*presheaves* could be appropriately defined as a $\mathcal{C}at$-valued left exact 2-functor. The 2-category of models is by definition the dual 2-category of the 2-category of points. Our main theorem establishes, in particular, that these 2-categories are locally small, and equivalent, respectively, to the 2-category σ-$\mathcal{P}ro(\mathcal{A})$ of σ-pro-objects of $\mathcal{A}$ and the 2-category σ-$\mathcal{I}nd(\mathcal{A}^{op})$ of σ-ind-objects of $\mathcal{A}^{op}$. This fact would be essential for the development of the theory of 2-topoi, since (as Joyal pointed to us) a 2-topos could be defined as a left exact 2-localization of a 2-category of 2-preshaves.

Organization of the paper

In Section 1 we fix notation and terminology. Through Sections 2 and 3 we fix an arbitrary pair $(\mathcal{A},\ \Sigma)$ with Σ a 1-subcategory (containing all the objects) of a 2-category $\mathcal{A}$.

In Section 2 we develop the theory of σ-limits. In § 2.1 we define σ-natural transformations between 2-functors $\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ following [14, § I,2 p.13,14]. These are lax natural transformations where the 2-cells associated to the arrows in Σ are invertible. We denote the so determined 2-category $\mathcal{H}om_\sigma^\Sigma(\mathcal{A},\ \mathcal{B})$, and whenever possible we will omit Σ from the notation. In this way we have a chain of inclusions of categories with the same objects:

$$\mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \overset{(1)}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{H}om_\sigma(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \overset{(2)}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{H}om_\ell(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$$

where the sub indexes s, p, σ, ℓ indicate strict-natural (i.e. 2-natural), pseudonatural, σ-natural and lax natural respectively. When Σ is the whole underlying category of $\mathcal{A}$, (1) above is an equality, and when Σ consists only of the identities (2) is so. This allows for a unified treatment of many results known for pseudo and lax natural transformations.

Each choice of a subindex s, p, σ, ℓ gives rise to a notion of weighted limit that we study in § 2.2. Note that the three cases s, p, ℓ are considered in [17], but the general concept of σ-limit for an arbitrary 1-subcategory Σ is an essential tool to work with the notion of flat 2-functor, and we consider in this paper σ-limits that are neither lax nor pseudolimits.

Warning: we use limit *to refer to a general weighted limit, and* conical limit *to a classical limit (i.e., when the weight is the constant* 2*-functor 1).*

We describe conical σ-colimits in § 2.3, adapt Gray's construction of colimits in $\mathcal{C}at$ to fit our context, and show, modifying an argument of Street [28], that arbitrary σ-colimits can be expressed as conical σ-colimits. In § 2.4 we consider for each of the sub indexes s, p, σ, ℓ the corresponding notion of *end*, and we use them in § 2.5 to prove results regarding the pointwise computation of limits in the 2-categories of 2-functors. We establish then the canonical expression, mentioned in the introduction, of a $\mathcal{C}at$-valued 2-functor as a (conical) σ-bicolimit of representable 2-functors (2.5.9).

Finally, we prove a property of interchange of limits that will be used later in order to show that the bi-Kan extension preserves σ-bicolimits.

In Section 3 we introduce and develop the notion of 2-filteredness for pairs $(\mathcal{A},\ \Sigma)$, which we refer to by saying that $\mathcal{A}$ is *σ-filtered* (with respect to Σ). In § 3.1 we state the basic definition, which is a generalization of Kennison's three axioms in his definition of *bifiltered* 2-category [20], thus it also generalizes the equivalent Dubuc-Street notion of 2-*filtered* 2-category [11]. Their notion corresponds to σ-filteredness when Σ consists of all the arrows of $\mathcal{A}$. We consider particular finite diagrams such that their σ-cones suffice for σ-filteredness, and show that these σ-cones correspond (up to equivalence) to the cones of some particular finite weighted bilimits. In § 3.2 we consider the pair $(\mathcal{E}l_P,\mathscr{C}_P)$ as mentioned in the introduction and we prove that the 2-functor $\mathcal{E}l_P \xrightarrow{\Diamond_P} \mathcal{A}$ creates any conical σ-bilimit which exists in $\mathcal{A}$ and is preserved by P (this is also a 2-dimensional version of a known 1-dimensional result, see [16, Proposition 4.87]). More precisely, given any 2-diagram $\Delta \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{E}l_P$, denoted (a_i, F_i) for $i \in \Delta$, consider $\Sigma \subset \Delta$, $\Sigma = F^{-1}(\mathscr{C}_P)$, and let $L \longrightarrow F_i$ be a conical σ-bilimit cone in $\mathcal{A}$ preserved by P. Then, there exist $c \in PL$ and a σ-cone with arrows $(c, L) \longrightarrow (a_i, F_i)$ in $\mathscr{C}_P$ over $L \longrightarrow F_i$, which is the σ-bilimit of the diagram in $\mathcal{E}l_P$. From this result, together with the equivalence between cones mentioned above, it follows that if $\mathcal{A}$ has finite weighted bilimits and P is left exact, then the pair $(\mathcal{E}l_P^{op},\mathscr{C}_P)$ is σ-filtered. Interestingly enough, finite conical bilimits in $\mathcal{A}$ do not suffice for this result. In § 3.3 we consider *σ-cofinal* 2-functors and establish some of the usual properties of cofinality that we will use in the proof of our main theorem mentioned above. These properties allow us to show that the canonical 2-functor $\mathcal{E}l_P^{op} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}l_L^{op}$, where L is a left bi-Kan extension of P, is σ-cofinal in the case considered in the theorem.

In Section 4 we consider flat pseudofunctors and we prove our main theorem. In § 4.1 we define the *bi-Kan extension* of a pseudofunctor following [21]. It is defined by the usual representation that defines Kan extensions suitably relaxed. We focus on the *pointwise* case which holds when the target 2-category has all weighted bilimits, and prove some basic results on flat pseudofunctors, analogous (but independent since the two notions of flatness are different) to the ones that can be found for a general base category $\mathcal{V}$ in [18, § 6]. In § 4.2 we state and prove the results mentioned in the introduction above, in particular our main theorem (Theorem 4.2.10), and in appendix A we generalize them to the case of pseudofunctors.

1 Preliminaries

1.1 Basic terminology

Terminology regarding 2-dimensional category theory vary in the literature, we list here some definitions and basic results as we will use them in this paper.

1. We refer the reader to [19] for basic notions on 2-categories. Size issues are not relevant to us here, when it is not clear from the context we indicate the smallness condition if it applies.

2. In any 2-category, we use $\circ$ to denote vertical composition and juxtaposition to denote horizontal composition. We consider juxtaposition more binding than "$\circ$", thus $\alpha\beta \circ \gamma$ means $(\alpha\beta) \circ \gamma$. We will abuse notation by writing f instead of id_f for arrows f when there is no risk of confusion.

3. Given any arrow or 2-cell "x", we use "x^*", "x_*" to denote precomposition, postcomposition with "x" respectively.

4. By $\mathcal{C}at$ we denote the 2-category of (small) categories, with functors as morphisms and natural transformations as 2-cells.

5. For a 2-category $\mathcal{A}$ and objects $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, we use the notation $\mathcal{A}(A, B)$ to denote the category whose objects are the morphisms between A and B and whose arrows are the 2-cells between those morphisms.

6. We use $\cong$ to denote isomorphisms and $\approx$ to denote equivalences in a 2-category.

7. A 2-functor $F : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is said to be *pseudo-fully-faithful* if for each $A,\ B \in \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}(A, B) \xrightarrow{F_{A,B}} \mathcal{B}(FA, FB)$ is an equivalence of categories, *2-fully-faithful* if each $F_{A,B}$ is an isomorphism and *locally-fully-faithful* if each $F_{A,B}$ is full and faithful.

8. For a 2-category $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}^{op}$ denotes the 2-category with the same objects as $\mathcal{A}$ but with $\mathcal{A}^{op}(A, B) = \mathcal{A}(B, A)$, i.e. we reverse the 1-cells but not the 2-cells. We use the notation $\overline{B} \xrightarrow{f} \overline{A}$ for the arrow in $\mathcal{A}^{op}$ that corresponds to the arrow $A \xrightarrow{f} B$, in $\mathcal{A}$. 2-cells keep their names.

9. For a 2-category $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}^{co}$ denotes the 2-category with the same objects and arrows as $\mathcal{A}$, but with $\mathcal{A}^{co}(A, B) = \mathcal{A}(A, B)^{op}$, i.e. we reverse the 2-cells but not the 1-cells.

10. The 2-category $\mathcal{C}at$ has a duality 2-functor $\mathcal{C}at^{co} \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{C}at$ that maps each category C to its dual C^{op}. Clearly D is an isomorphism of 2-categories and it is its own inverse.

11. A lax natural transformation between 2-functors $\mathcal{A} \underset{G}{\overset{F}{\rightrightarrows}} \mathcal{B}$ is a family of morphisms and 2-cells of $\mathcal{B}$, $\{FA \xrightarrow{\theta_A} GA\}_{A\in\mathcal{A}}$, $\{Gf\theta_A \xRightarrow{\theta_f} \theta_B Ff\}_{A \xrightarrow{f} B \in \mathcal{A}}$ satisfying the following equations:

    LN0. For all $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $\theta_{id_A} = \theta_A$.

    LN1. For all $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \in \mathcal{A}$, $\theta_{gf} = \theta_g Ff \circ Gg\theta_f$.

    LN2. For all $A \underset{g}{\overset{f}{\xrightarrow[\gamma\Downarrow]{}}} B \in \mathcal{A}$, $\theta_B F\gamma \circ \theta_f = \theta_g \circ G\gamma\theta_A$.

    An op-lax natural transformation is defined analogously but the structural 2-cells θ_f are reversed, i.e. $\theta_B Ff \xRightarrow{\theta_f} Gf\theta_A$.

    A modification $\theta \xrightarrow{\rho} \theta'$ between lax natural transformations is a family of 2-cells of $\mathcal{B}$ $\{\theta_A \xRightarrow{\rho_A} \theta'_A\}_{A\in\mathcal{A}}$ such that:

    LNM. For all $A \xrightarrow{f} B \in \mathcal{A}$, $\theta'_f \circ Gf\rho_A = \rho_B Ff \circ \theta_f$.

    In this way we have a 2-category $\mathcal{H}om_\ell(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$, with arrows the lax natural transformations, and similarly $\mathcal{H}om_{op\ell}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$.

    A pseudonatural transformation is a lax natural transformation where all the 2-cells θ_f are invertible, they are the arrows of a 2-category $\mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$. A strict, or 2-natural

transformation is a lax natural transformation where all the 2-cells θ_f are identities, they are the arrows of a 2-category $\mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$. We have locally-fully-faithful inclusions

$$\mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_\ell(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) \tag{1.1.1}$$

and similarly for $\mathcal{H}om_{op\ell}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$. A pseudonatural equivalence, or pseudo-equivalence for short, is a pseudonatural transformation such that every θ_A is an equivalence in $\mathcal{B}$. This amounts to θ being an equivalence in $\mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$.

12. A lax-dinatural transformation θ between 2-functors $\mathcal{A}^{op} \times \mathcal{A} \underset{G}{\overset{F}{\rightrightarrows}} \mathcal{B}$, is a family of morphism and 2-cells of $\mathcal{B}$, $\{F(A,A) \xrightarrow{\theta_A} G(A,A)\}_{A\in\mathcal{A}}$, $\{G(id,f)\theta_A F(f,id) \overset{\theta_f}{\Longrightarrow} G(f,id)\theta_B F(id,f)\}_{A\xrightarrow{f}B\in\mathcal{A}}$ satisfying the following equations:

LD0. For all $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $\theta_{id_A} = \theta_A$.

LD1. For all $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \in \mathcal{A}$, $\theta_{gf} = G(f,id)\theta_g F(id,f) \circ G(id,g)\theta_f F(g,id)$.

LD2. For all $A \underset{\gamma\Downarrow}{\overset{f}{\underset{g}{\rightrightarrows}}} B \in \mathcal{A}$, $G(\gamma,id)\theta_B F(id,\gamma) \circ \theta_f = \theta_g \circ G(id,\gamma)\theta_A F(\gamma,id)$.

A morphism ρ between two lax dinatural transformations θ,θ' is a family of 2-cells of $\mathcal{B}$, $\{\theta_A \overset{\rho_A}{\Longrightarrow} \theta'_A\}_{A\in\mathcal{A}}$ such that:

LDM. For all $A \xrightarrow{f} B \in \mathcal{A}$, $\theta'_f \circ G(id,f)\rho_A F(f,id) = G(f,id)\rho_B F(id,f) \circ \theta_f$.

Note that if a pair of 2-functors $\mathcal{A} \underset{G}{\overset{F}{\rightrightarrows}} \mathcal{B}$ are considered as 2-functors $\mathcal{A}^{op}\times\mathcal{A} \underset{\widetilde{G}}{\overset{\widetilde{F}}{\rightrightarrows}} \mathcal{B}$ constant in the first variable, lax dinatural transformations from $\widetilde{F}$ to $\widetilde{G}$ correspond to lax natural transformations from F to G, and similarly for their morphisms.

13. The construction of item 9 defines an isomorphism $\mathcal{H}om_\ell(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{(-)^{co}} \mathcal{H}om_{op\ell}(\mathcal{A}^{co},\mathcal{B}^{co})$.

14. Combining the previous item with item 10, we have an isomorphism of 2-categories $\mathcal{H}om_\ell(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}at) \xrightarrow{(-)^{co}} \mathcal{H}om_{op\ell}(\mathcal{A}^{co},\mathcal{C}at^{co}) \xrightarrow{D_*} \mathcal{H}om_{op\ell}(\mathcal{A}^{co},\mathcal{C}at)$ that maps a 2-functor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$ to a 2-functor that we will denote by P^d, $P^d = DP^{co}$.

15. For a 2-functor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{B}$, and an object $E \in \mathcal{B}$ we have isomorphisms of categories

$$\mathcal{H}om_\ell(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}at)(\triangle 1, \mathcal{B}(E,F-)) \cong \mathcal{H}om_\ell(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})(\triangle E, F)$$

$$\mathcal{H}om_\ell(\mathcal{A}^{op},\mathcal{C}at)(\triangle 1, \mathcal{B}(F-,E)) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{op\ell}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})(F, \triangle E)$$

where $\triangle 1$ and $\triangle E$ denotes the 2-functors constant at $1 = \{*\}$, and E respectively.

For θ in the left side and η in the right side, both isomorphisms are given by the formulas $\eta_A = \theta_A(*)$ for $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $\eta_f = (\theta_f)_*$ for $A \xrightarrow{f} B \in \mathcal{A}$.

1.2 The 2-category of elements

We will make extensive use of the 2-category of elements $\mathcal{E}l_P$ of a $\mathcal{C}at$-valued 2-functor P. $\mathcal{E}l_P$ can be defined as a particular instance of a *lax comma 2-category* ([2, § 1.4], [14, § I,2.5]), $\mathcal{E}l_P = [\triangle 1, P]$, and therefore has the universal property of Proposition 1.2.3 below.

Definition 1.2.1. *Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$ be a 2-functor. $\mathcal{E}l_P$ can be described as follows:*

1. *Objects: Pairs (x, A) with $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x \in PA$*
2. *Morphisms: A morphism between (x, A) and (y, B) is a pair (f, φ) with $A \xrightarrow{f} B \in \mathcal{A}$ and $Pf(x) \xrightarrow{\varphi} y$*
3. *2-cells: A 2-cell between (f, φ) and (g, ψ) (from (x, A) to (y, B)) is given by a 2-cell $A \xrightarrow[\theta\Downarrow]{\overset{f}{\underset{g}{\rightarrow}}} B \in \mathcal{A}$ such that the following diagram commutes in PB:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Pf(x) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & y \\ {\scriptstyle (P\theta)_x}\downarrow & \nearrow_{\psi} & \\ Pg(x) & & \end{array}$$

4. *Compositions in this 2-category are defined as follows: for composable arrows (f, φ) and (g, ψ) we have $(g, \psi)(f, \varphi) = (gf, \psi Pg(\varphi))$, and both horizontal and vertical composition of 2-cells are computed in $\mathcal{A}$.*

We consider the 1-subcategory $\mathscr{C}_P$ of $\mathcal{E}l_P$ whose arrows are (f, φ) with φ an isomorphism.

Remark 1.2.2. We note that the canonical projection $\mathcal{E}l_P \xrightarrow{\Diamond_P} \mathcal{A}$ is the opfibration (in the sense of [14, § I,2.5 p.30]) associated to P, and the arrows of $\mathscr{C}_P$ are the cocartesian morphisms of $\mathcal{E}l_P$.

Proposition 1.2.3 ([14, § I,2.5 p.29], [2, Proposition 1.11])**.** *The following diagram expresses the fact that (together with $\Diamond_P$ and the lax natural transformation α defined by $\alpha_{(x,A)} = x$, $\alpha_{(f,\varphi)} = \varphi$), $\mathcal{E}l_P$ is the lax pull-back of P along the 2-functor $1 \xrightarrow{\triangle 1} \mathcal{C}at$.*

For each 2-functor $\mathcal{Z} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{A}$, and each lax natural transformation $\triangle 1 \xrightarrow{\theta} PF$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z} & \xrightarrow{F} & \\ {\scriptstyle \exists! T}\searrow & & \\ & \mathcal{E}l_P \xrightarrow{\Diamond_P} \mathcal{A} & \\ & \downarrow \quad \overset{\Rightarrow}{\alpha} \quad \downarrow P & \\ & 1 \xrightarrow[\triangle 1]{} \mathcal{C}at & \end{array} \quad \text{such that } \Diamond_P T = F, \alpha T = \theta.$$

The formulas behind this correspondence are, for $Z \xrightarrow[\beta\Downarrow]{\overset{r}{\underset{s}{\rightarrow}}} W$ in $\mathcal{Z}$, $T(Z) = (\theta_Z, F(Z))$, $T(r) = (F(r), \theta_r)$, $T(\beta) = F(\beta)$. There is also a 2-categorical part of this universal property that we omit since we will not use it, the reader may consult [2, Proposition 1.11]. □

Remark 1.2.4. It is well-known (see [28, p.180], or see [2, Proposition 1.14] for a proof) that the projection $\mathcal{E}l_P \xrightarrow{\Diamond_P} \mathcal{A}$ is *lax dense*, in the sense that for each $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{Q} \mathcal{C}at$ the pasting composition with α yields an isomorphism of categories

$$\mathcal{H}om_\ell(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at)(P, Q) \cong \mathcal{H}om_\ell(\mathcal{E}l_P, \mathcal{C}at)(\triangle 1, Q\Diamond_P).$$

We make explicit the formulas defining this correspondence on objects:

$P \xRightarrow{\eta} Q$ lax natural

$$\begin{array}{ccc} PA & \xrightarrow{\eta_A} & QA \\ {\scriptstyle P(f)}\downarrow & \Downarrow \eta_f & \downarrow{\scriptstyle Q(f)} \\ PB & \xrightarrow[\eta_B]{} & QB \end{array}$$

$(\eta_f)_x = \theta_{(f,id)} \qquad \eta_A(x) = \theta_{(x,A)}$

$\triangle 1 \xRightarrow{\theta} Q\Diamond_P$ lax natural

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \xrightarrow{\theta_{(x,A)}} & QA \\ & {\scriptstyle \theta_{(y,B)}} \searrow & \Downarrow \theta_{(f,\varphi)} \downarrow {\scriptstyle Q(f)} \\ & & QB \end{array}$$

$\theta_{(f,\varphi)} = \eta_B(\varphi)(\eta_f)_x$

1.2.5. Combining Proposition 1.2.3 and Remark 1.2.4 we have, for each lax natural transformation $P \xRightarrow{\eta} Q$ between $\mathcal{C}at$-valued 2-functors, an induced 2-functor $\mathcal{E}l_P \xrightarrow{T_\eta} \mathcal{E}l_Q$ given by the formulas

$$T_\eta(x, A) = (\eta_A(x), A), \quad T_\eta(f, \varphi) = (f, \eta_B(\varphi)(\eta_f)_x), \quad T_\eta(\theta) = \theta. \tag{1.2.6}$$

We note (see [2, Theorem 1.15]), although we will not need this result, that this assignment actually defines a 2-fully-faithful 2-functor $\mathcal{H}om_\ell(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at) \longrightarrow (2\text{-}\mathcal{C}at/\mathcal{A})$.

1.2.7. Consider now 2-functors $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{H} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$. By the pasting lemma for lax pull-backs, we may construct the lax pull-back defining $\mathcal{E}l_{PH}$ by pasting a (strict) 2-pull-back to the lax pull-back defining $\mathcal{E}l_P$ as in the diagram below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}l_{PH} & \xrightarrow{\Diamond_{PH}} & \mathcal{A} \\ {\scriptstyle T_H}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle H} \\ \mathcal{E}l_P & \xrightarrow{\Diamond_P} & \mathcal{B} \\ \downarrow & \overset{\Rightarrow}{\alpha_P} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle P} \\ 1 & \xrightarrow[\triangle 1]{} & \mathcal{C}at \end{array}$$

Then we have an induced 2-functor $\mathcal{E}l_{PH} \xrightarrow{T_H} \mathcal{E}l_P$ that is given by the formulas

$$T_H(x, A) = (x, HA), \quad T_H(f, \varphi) = (Hf, \varphi), \quad T_H(\theta) = H\theta. \tag{1.2.8}$$

2 σ-limits

We fix throughout this section a 1-subcategory Σ of a 2-category $\mathcal{A}$. We introduce the use of a symbol σ accompanying a concept, it is convenient to think that σ means that the concept is to be taken "relative to the arrows of Σ". Whenever possible, we will omit Σ from the notation.

2.1 σ-natural transformations

Definition 2.1.1. *Given 2-functors* $\mathcal{A} \underset{G}{\overset{F}{\rightrightarrows}} \mathcal{B}$*, a* σ*-natural transformation* $F \overset{\theta}{\Longrightarrow} G$ *(with respect to* Σ*) is a lax natural transformation such that, if* $A \overset{f}{\longrightarrow} A'$ *is in* Σ*, the structural 2-cell* θ_f *(see § 1.1, item 11) is invertible. There is a 2-category* $\mathcal{H}om_\sigma^\Sigma(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ *whose objects are the 2-functors from* $\mathcal{A}$ *to* $\mathcal{B}$*, whose arrows are the* σ*-natural transformations and whose 2-cells are the modifications between them. We have locally-fully-faithful inclusions (see* (1.1.1)*)*

$$\mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) \overset{(1)}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{H}om_\sigma(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) \overset{(2)}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{H}om_\ell(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}). \tag{2.1.2}$$

Note that if Σ' *is another 1-subcategory of* $\mathcal{A}$ *and* $\Sigma \subseteq \Sigma'$ *then* $\mathcal{H}om_\sigma^{\Sigma'}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_\sigma^\Sigma(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$.

We recall that σ-natural transformations were already considered by J. W. Gray in [14, § I,2 p.13,14]. What we denote by $\mathcal{H}om_\sigma^\Sigma(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ is, in Gray's notation, $Fun(\mathcal{A},\Sigma;\mathcal{B},iso\mathcal{B})$.

Remark 2.1.3. Consider a 2-category $\mathcal{A}$, its underlying category $\mathcal{A}_0$ and the 1-subcategory $\mathcal{A}_{id}$ consisting only of the identities. Then, in (2.1.2), (1) is an equality if $\Sigma = \mathcal{A}_0$, and (2) is an equality if $\Sigma = \mathcal{A}_{id}$. □

This allows for a unified treatment of many results which are known for lax and pseudo-natural transformations. Observe that the items 13, 14 and 15 in § 1.1 hold with the same proof for general σ and $op\sigma$-natural transformations, the latter being defined in an evident way. □

Notation 2.1.4. Even though in this paper we will work mainly with σ-limits, in order to avoid repeating statements that hold for the σ-case and the strict s-case, we will use a letter ε, that can stand for both s and σ (then also by Remark 2.1.3 for p and ℓ).

2.2 ε-limits

Definition 2.2.1. *Given 2-functors* $\mathcal{A} \overset{W}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{C}at$, $\mathcal{A} \overset{F}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{B}$*, and* E *an object of* $\mathcal{B}$*, we denote* $Cones_\varepsilon^W(F,E) = \mathcal{H}om_\varepsilon(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}at)(W,\mathcal{B}(E,F-))$*. This is the category of* w*-*ε*-cones (with respect to the weight* W*) for* F *with vertex* E*. For a* w*-*ε*-cone* ξ *with vertex* E*,* $W \overset{\xi}{\Longrightarrow} \mathcal{B}(E,F-)$ *, we have a functor* $\theta_B = \xi^*$ *given by precomposition with* ξ*:*

$$\mathcal{B}(B,E) \overset{\theta_B}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{H}om_\varepsilon(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}at)(W,\mathcal{B}(B,F-)) \tag{2.2.2}$$

$$B \underset{g}{\overset{f}{\overset{\Downarrow\alpha}{\rightrightarrows}}} E \quad \longmapsto \quad W \overset{\xi}{\Longrightarrow} \mathcal{B}(E,F-) \underset{g^*}{\overset{f^*}{\overset{\Downarrow\alpha^*}{\rightrightarrows}}} \mathcal{B}(B,F-)$$

The ε*-limit of* F *weighted by* W*, denoted* $\{W,F\}_\varepsilon$ *or more precisely* $(\{W,F\}_\varepsilon,\xi)$*, is a* w*-*ε*-cone* ξ *with vertex* $E = \{W,F\}_\varepsilon$*, universal in the sense that* $\theta_B = \xi^*$ *in* (2.2.2) *is an isomorphism.*

As usual, an equivalent formulation of the universal property is that there is a representation θ_B *natural in the variable* B *(as in* (2.2.2)*), and* ξ *is recovered setting* $B = E$*,* $\xi = \theta_E(id_E)$*.*

It is convenient to give an explicit definition of the dual concept, in the notation of Definition 2.2.1:

Definition 2.2.3. *ε-colimits $W \otimes_\varepsilon F$ in $\mathcal{B}$ are the corresponding limits in $\mathcal{B}^{op}$; for $\mathcal{A}^{op} \xrightarrow{W} \mathcal{C}at$, $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{B}$ we denote $Cones^W_\varepsilon(F,E) = \mathcal{H}om_\varepsilon(\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{C}at)(W, \mathcal{B}(F-,E))$, as it is clear from the context which w-ε-cones we are referring to. The ε-colimit of F weighted by W, denoted $W \otimes_\varepsilon F$ or more precisely $(W \otimes_\varepsilon F, \nu)$, is a w-$\varepsilon$-cone ν with vertex $E = W \otimes_\varepsilon F$, $W \overset{\nu}{\Longrightarrow} \mathcal{B}(F-,B)$ universal in the sense that the functor $\theta_B = \nu^*$ given by precomposing with ν,*

$$\mathcal{B}(E,B) \xrightarrow{\theta_B} \mathcal{H}om_\varepsilon(\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{C}at)(W, \mathcal{B}(F-,B)) \tag{2.2.4}$$

is an isomorphism.

Remark 2.2.5. Considering $\varepsilon = s$, we recover the notion of strict weighted limit ([17, § 2]). Considering $\varepsilon = \sigma$, $\Sigma = \mathcal{A}_0$ and $\Sigma = \mathcal{A}_{id}$, we recover the notions of weighted lax and pseudolimits ([17, § 5]). In spite of this notation, the reader should be aware that s-limits are not σ-limits, as it is the case for weighted lax and pseudolimits.

The general concept of σ-limit for an arbitrary 1-subcategory Σ is an essential tool to work with the notion of flat 2-functor, and we will consider in this paper σ-limits that are neither lax nor pseudolimits.

Remark 2.2.6. We also consider (but omit to write explicitly) analogous statements for opσ-natural transformations, thus defining opσ-limits. Recall § 1.1, item 14. Every σ-limit in $\mathcal{B}$ is a opσ-limit in $\mathcal{B}^{co}$, and vice versa. If $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{W} \mathcal{C}at$, $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{B}$, then $\{W,F\}_\sigma = \{W^d, F^{co}\}_{op\sigma}$. See [2, Proposition 1.5] for a proof for lax natural transformations, that can be easily adapted to a general σ.

Therefore one can think there is only one main or "primitive" notion between the four possible choices in (op)σ-(co)limits, and the other three can be obtained from that one. Then, as is usual in the literature, we can state and prove general results for σ-limits, and use them for any of the four choices mentioned above.

Remark 2.2.7. If the universal property in Definition 2.2.1 is in the weak sense (equivalence instead of isomorphism) we have the notion of σ-bilimit ${}_{bi}\{W,F\}_\sigma$ (and σ-bicolimit $W \ {}_{bi}\otimes_\sigma F$). Clearly, any σ-limit is in particular a σ-bilimit. Note however that the defining universal properties characterize σ-bilimits up to equivalence and σ-limits up to isomorphism. We abuse nevertheless the language by referring to "the" σ-bilimit, or "the" σ-limit, and use equalities to express that a certain object satisfies the corresponding universal property, but it is important to be aware that for a given data, both a σ-limit and a σ-bilimit may be constructed independently, and they will be equivalent objects, but *not necessarily isomorphic*.

As in [17, (2.5), (5.5)] (see Remark 2.4.15 and Corollary 2.5.7 below for a proof) we have the basic results:

Proposition 2.2.8. *The 2-category $\mathcal{C}at$ has all (small) weighted ε-limits. In fact, given $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{W} \mathcal{C}at$, $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{C}at$, $\{W,F\}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{H}om_\varepsilon(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at)(W,F)$.* □

Corollary 2.2.9. *Representable 2-functors preserve weighted ε-limits. That is, $\mathcal{B}(B, \{W,F\}_\varepsilon) \xrightarrow{\cong} \{W, \mathcal{B}(B,F-)\}_\varepsilon$* □

It is well known ([16, (3.11)]) that weighted strict limits behave functorially both in the weight and the argument. Here we establish the fact that ε-limits (recall that ε stands for σ or s) behave functorially respect to any natural transformation *stronger* than ε-natural, more precisely:

Remark 2.2.10. Consider the set L consisting of the label s and one label σ^{Σ} for each 1-subcategory Σ of $\mathcal{A}$. Note that in particular we have labels that we denote $p = \sigma^{\mathcal{A}_{id}}$, $\ell = \sigma^{\mathcal{A}_0}$ (see Remark 2.1.3). Consider the order in L induced by the inclusions in (2.1.2), that is $s \leq \sigma^{\Sigma}$ for every Σ, and $\sigma^{\Sigma'} \leq \sigma^{\Sigma}$ if $\Sigma \subseteq \Sigma'$.

Note that if we are considering only one 1-subcategory Σ, and omit it from the notation, we have $s \leq p \leq \sigma \leq \ell$ (cf. (2.1.2)).

Let $\alpha, \beta \in L$, if $\alpha \leq \beta$ then weighted β-limits behave functorially respect to α-natural transformations. That is:

Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow[W]{\theta\Downarrow}{}^{V} \mathcal{C}at$, $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow[G]{\eta\Downarrow}{}^{F} \mathcal{C}$ be α-natural transformations, by (2.2.2), we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \overset{\xi}{\Rightarrow} & \mathcal{C}(\{V,F\}_\beta, F-) \\ {\scriptstyle\theta}\Downarrow & & \Downarrow{\scriptstyle f^*} \\ W & \overset{\xi}{\Rightarrow} & \mathcal{C}(\{W,F\}_\beta, F-) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \{V,F\}_\beta \\ \exists! f \uparrow \\ \{W,F\}_\beta \end{array} \quad , \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} W & \xRightarrow{\xi} & \mathcal{C}(\{W,G\}_\beta, G-) \\ {\scriptstyle\xi}\Downarrow & & \Downarrow{\scriptstyle g^*} \\ \mathcal{C}(\{W,F\}_\beta, F-) & \overset{\eta_*}{\Rightarrow} & \mathcal{C}(\{W,F\}_\beta, G-) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \{W,G\}_\beta \\ \exists! g \uparrow \\ \{W,F\}_\beta \end{array}$$

A standard line of reasoning by the uniqueness in the universal properties yields that these constructions define 2-functors

$$(\mathcal{H}om_\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at)^{op})_+ \xrightarrow{\{-,F\}_\beta} \mathcal{C}, \quad (\mathcal{H}om_\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}))_+ \xrightarrow{\{W,-\}_\beta} \mathcal{C},$$

$$(\mathcal{H}om_\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at)^{op} \times \mathcal{H}om_\alpha(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}))_+ \xrightarrow{\{-,-\}_\beta} \mathcal{C}.$$

where the subscript "+" indicates the full-subcategories with objects such that the corresponding β-limits exist.

2.3 Conical σ-colimits

Remark 2.3.1. Let $F : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a 2-functor, and E an object of $\mathcal{B}$. It is immediate to check that the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}om_\ell(\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{C}at)(\triangle 1, \mathcal{B}(F-, E)) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{op\ell}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})(F, \triangle E)$$

from § 1.1, item 15, restricts to an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}om_\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{C}at)(\triangle 1, \mathcal{B}(F-, E)) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{op\sigma}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})(F, \triangle E) \tag{2.3.2}$$

By considering the weight $\triangle 1$ in (2.2.4), it follows

$$\mathcal{B}(\triangle 1 \otimes_\sigma F, E) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\nu^*} \mathcal{H}om_\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{C}at)(\triangle 1, \mathcal{B}(F-, E)) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{op\sigma}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})(F, \triangle E) \tag{2.3.3}$$

Definition 2.3.4. *Let $F : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a 2-functor, and E an object of $\mathcal{B}$. We define the category of* σ-cones, *$Cones^{\Sigma}_{\sigma}(F, E) = Cones^{\triangle 1}_{\sigma}(F, E)$, see Definition 2.2.1. By Remark 2.3.1, a* σ-cone *for F (with respect to Σ) with vertex E corresponds to a opσ-natural transformation $F \overset{\theta}{\Longrightarrow} \triangle E$, this amounts to a lax cone $\{FA \xrightarrow{\theta_A} E\}_{A \in \mathcal{A}}$, $\{\theta_B Ff \overset{\theta_f}{\Longrightarrow} \theta_A\}_{A \xrightarrow{f} B \in \mathcal{A}}$ such that θ_f is invertible for every f in Σ. The morphisms between two σ-cones correspond to their morphisms as lax cones.*

We now describe the universal property (2.3.3) *defining the (conical)* σ*-colimit of* F*. The* σ-colimit *in* $\mathcal{B}$ *(with respect to* Σ*) of the* 2*-functor* $F : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ *is the universal* σ*-cone, denoted* $\{FA \xrightarrow{\lambda_A} \underset{A\in\mathcal{A}}{\underrightarrow{\sigma\mathsf{Lim}^{\Sigma}}}\, FA\}_{A\in\mathcal{A}}$*,* $\{\lambda_B Ff \overset{\lambda_f}{\Longrightarrow} \lambda_A\}_{A\xrightarrow{f}B\in\mathcal{A}}$ *in the sense that for each* $E \in \mathcal{B}$*, pre-composition with* λ *is an isomorphism of categories*

$$\mathcal{B}(\underset{A\in\mathcal{A}}{\underrightarrow{\sigma\mathsf{Lim}}}\, FA, E) \xrightarrow{\lambda^*} Cones_{\sigma}(F, E) \tag{2.3.5}$$

We denote the weak notion of (conical) σ-bicolimit *as in Remark 2.2.7, where* λ^* *is an equivalence,* $\underset{A\in\mathcal{A}}{\underrightarrow{\sigma\mathsf{biLim}^{\Sigma}}}\, FA$*. By definition we have, for* $F : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$*,*

$$\underset{A\in\mathcal{A}}{\underrightarrow{\sigma\mathsf{Lim}}}\, FA = \triangle 1 \otimes_{\sigma} F, \qquad\qquad \underset{A\in\mathcal{A}}{\underrightarrow{\sigma\mathsf{biLim}}}\, FA = \triangle 1 \,{}_{bi}\otimes_{\sigma} F. \tag{2.3.6}$$

Conical opσ-colimits are special cases of *Cartesian quasi limits* considered by J. W. Gray in [14, I,7.9.1 iii)]. What we would denote by $\underset{A\in\mathcal{A}}{\underrightarrow{\mathsf{op}\sigma\mathsf{Lim}^{\Sigma}}}\, FA$ is, in Gray's notation, $Cart$ q- $\underset{\mathcal{A},iso\Sigma}{\underrightarrow{\mathsf{Lim}}}\, F$.

Remark 2.3.7. Conical σ-limits $\underset{A\in\mathcal{A}}{\underleftarrow{\sigma\mathsf{Lim}^{\Sigma}}}\, FA$ are σ-limits weighted by $\triangle 1$, in this case there is no "op" in the lax naturality involved (because there is no "op" in the first isomorphism of § 1.1, item 15), and they correspond in Gray's notation to $Cart$ q- $\underset{\mathcal{A},iso\Sigma}{\underleftarrow{\mathsf{Lim}}}\, F$. We also refer to σ-natural transformations $\triangle E \overset{\theta}{\Longrightarrow} F$ as σ*-cones* (as in Definition 2.3.4 above), since the direction of θ is clear from the context.

The following diagram illustrates the correspondence between σ-cones in $\mathcal{B}^{op}$ and σ-cones in $\mathcal{B}$ (recall that 2-cells in $\mathcal{B}^{op}$ keep their direction and that we denote objects in $\mathcal{B}^{op}$ with an overline, see § 1.1, item 8):

$$\text{For } A \xrightarrow{f} B \text{ in } \mathcal{A}, \quad \overline{E} \;\overset{\theta_B}{\underset{\theta_A}{\rightrightarrows}}\; \overline{FB} \;\Downarrow\theta_f\; \overline{FA} \;(Ff) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{B}^{op} \quad \text{corresponds to} \quad FA \;\overset{\theta_A}{\underset{\theta_B}{\rightrightarrows}}\; FB \;\Uparrow\theta_f\; E \;(Ff) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{B}. \tag{2.3.8}$$

Definition 2.3.9. *Recall Definition 1.2.1. We denote by* $\mathscr{C}_{\Sigma}$ *the* 1*-subcategory of* $\mathcal{E}l_P$ *with arrows* (f, φ) *that satisfy* $f \in \Sigma$*,* φ *invertible (i.e. the intersection of* $\mathscr{C}_P$ *and* $\Diamond_P^{-1}(\Sigma)$*).*

In [14, I,7.11.4 i)] Gray proves that conical opσ-colimits in $\mathcal{C}at$ exist and gives an explicit construction of them. We interpret this result according to our notation. We will use the left adjoint π_0 of the inclusion $\mathcal{C}at \xrightarrow{d}$ 2-$\mathcal{C}at$ (where 2-$\mathcal{C}at$ is the 2-category of small 2-categories, 2-functors and 2-natural transformations) and the existence of the usual category of fractions [13]. For a subcategory Σ of a category C, we will denote this category by $C[\Sigma^{-1}]$.

We observe that Gray only makes invertible those morphisms of the form $(f, id) \in \mathscr{C}_{\Sigma}$ while we invert every morphism in $\mathscr{C}_{\Sigma}$. Since every morphism $(f, \varphi) \in \mathscr{C}_{\Sigma}$ can be factorized as $(id, \varphi)(f, id)$ and (id, φ) is already invertible because φ is an isomorphism, both constructions are isomorphic.

Proposition 2.3.10. *Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{Q} \mathcal{C}at$ be a 2-functor. Then $\underset{A\in\mathcal{A}}{\mathsf{op}\sigma\mathsf{Lim}}\, QA = (\pi_0\mathcal{E}l_Q)[\mathscr{C}_\Sigma^{-1}]$.* □

Remark 2.3.11. Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{Q} \mathcal{C}at$ be a 2-functor. There is a construction *dual* to the 2-category of elements $\mathcal{E}l_Q$, that we will denote by Γ_Q, where the direction of φ in Definition 1.2.1 is reversed. Note that Definition 2.3.9 can be easily adapted to define the 1-subcategory $\mathscr{C}_\Sigma$ of Γ_Q. Then a proof *dual* to the one of Proposition 2.3.10 shows that, for a 2-functor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{Q} \mathcal{C}at$,

$$\underset{A\in\mathcal{A}}{\sigma\mathsf{Lim}}\, QA = (\pi_0\Gamma_Q^{op})[\mathscr{C}_\Sigma^{-1}] \tag{2.3.12}$$

The interested reader can also see [2, Proposition 1.17] for the ℓ-case, and [6, Theorem 5.2] for the p-case, where it is shown the formula $\underset{A\in\mathcal{A}}{\sigma\mathsf{Lim}}\, QA = \pi_0(\Gamma_Q^{op}[\mathscr{C}_\Sigma^{-1}])$, where now $\Gamma_Q^{op}[\mathscr{C}_\Sigma^{-1}]$ is the 2-category of fractions in the sense of [25]. Note that from the adjunction $\pi_0 \dashv d$ for any 1-subcategory Σ of a 2-category $\mathcal{A}$ it follows $(\pi_0\mathcal{A})[\Sigma^{-1}] = \pi_0(\mathcal{A}[\Sigma^{-1}])$, thus the two constructions are the same.

Remark 2.3.13. Note that, since computing π_0 and the category of fractions doesn't change the objects, the objects of $\underset{A\in\mathcal{A}}{\sigma\mathsf{Lim}}\, QA$ can be taken to be the objects of Γ_Q, which are pairs (x, A) with $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $x \in QA$. By looking at the proof of [14, I,7.11.1] (which has [14, I,7.11.4 i)], i.e. Proposition 2.3.10 as corollary), we have a formula for the σ-colimit σ-cone $Q \overset{\lambda}{\Longrightarrow} \triangle(\underset{A\in\mathcal{A}}{\sigma\mathsf{Lim}}\, QA)$, in particular on objects $\lambda_A(x) = (x, A)$. Note that for each object $c \in \underset{A\in\mathcal{A}}{\sigma\mathsf{Lim}}\, QA$, there are $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $x \in QA$ such that $\lambda_A(x) = c$.

In [28, Theorem 15] Street shows that for each weight $W : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}at$, s-limits weighted by W are equivalent to a special type of Gray's cartesian quasi-limit (see Remark 2.3.7) over $\mathcal{E}l_W$. A slight modification of this procedure shows that weighted σ-limits can be expressed as conical σ-limits. Since we will use this result for colimits, we prefer to prove the colimit version.

Proposition 2.3.14. *Let $\mathcal{A}^{op} \xrightarrow{W} \mathcal{C}at$, $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{B}$, then we have*

$$\mathcal{H}om_\sigma^\Sigma(\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{C}at)(W, \mathcal{B}(P-, B)) \cong \mathcal{H}om_\sigma^{\mathscr{C}_\Sigma}(\mathcal{E}l_W, \mathcal{C}at)(\triangle 1, \mathcal{B}(P\Diamond_W^{op}-, B)) \tag{2.3.15}$$

Proof. Consider the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}om_\ell(\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{C}at)(W, H) \cong \mathcal{H}om_\ell(\mathcal{E}l_W, \mathcal{C}at)(\triangle 1, H\Diamond_W)$$

of Remark 1.2.4 and the explicit formulas therein. Then it can be seen at once that the isomorphism restricts to

$$\mathcal{H}om_\sigma^\Sigma(\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{C}at)(W, H) \cong \mathcal{H}om_\sigma^{\mathscr{C}_\Sigma}(\mathcal{E}l_W, \mathcal{C}at)(\triangle 1, H\Diamond_W)$$

In particular, for $H = \mathcal{B}(P-, B)$ we have the desired isomorphism. □

Corollary 2.3.16. *Let $\mathcal{A}^{op} \xrightarrow{W} \mathcal{C}at$, $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{B}$, then*

$$W \otimes_\sigma P = \triangle 1 \otimes_\sigma P\Diamond_W^{op} = \underset{(x,A)\in\mathcal{E}l_W^{op}}{\sigma\mathsf{Lim}^{\mathscr{C}_\Sigma}} PA, \quad W \ {}_{bi}\otimes_\sigma P = \triangle 1 \ {}_{bi}\otimes_\sigma P\Diamond_W^{op} = \underset{(x,A)\in\mathcal{E}l_W^{op}}{\sigma\mathsf{biLim}^{\mathscr{C}_\Sigma}} PA, \tag{2.3.17}$$

which means that the universal properties defining each object are equivalent. In particular, by considering $\Sigma = \mathcal{A}_0$, we have

$$W \otimes_p P = \underset{(x,A)\in\mathcal{E}l_W^{op}}{\sigma\mathsf{Lim}^{\mathscr{C}_\mathsf{P}}} PA, \qquad W \ {}_{bi}\otimes_p P = \underset{(x,A)\in\mathcal{E}l_W^{op}}{\sigma\mathsf{biLim}^{\mathscr{C}_\mathsf{P}}} PA. \tag{2.3.18}$$

Proof. The first equality (in both expressions) is given by (2.3.15), the second one is (2.3.6). □

Lemma 2.3.19. *Let $\mathcal{A}^{op} \xrightarrow{W} \mathcal{C}at$, $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$, and consider the universal w-σ-cone $W \xRightarrow{\nu} \mathcal{C}at(P-, C)$, where $C = W \otimes_\sigma P$ (see (2.2.4)), note that ν is a σ-natural transformation. Then for each object $c \in C$, there exist $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $x \in WA$, $a \in PA$ such that $\nu_A(x)(a) = c$.*

Proof. We have $C \overset{(2.3.17)}{=} \underset{(x,A)\in\mathcal{E}l_W^{op}}{\sigma\mathsf{Lim}^{\mathscr{C}_\Sigma}} PA$. In other words, $W \otimes_\sigma P$ is the σ-colimit of the 2-functor $\mathcal{E}l_W^{op} \xrightarrow{\Diamond_W^{op}} \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$. We may compute this colimit using Remark 2.3.11, then we have the colimit σ-cone $P\Diamond_W^{op} \xRightarrow{\lambda} \triangle C$. By Remark 2.3.13, there are $(x, A) \in \mathcal{E}l_W$, $a \in PA$ such that $\lambda_{(x,A)}(a) = c$.

Now, by the proof of Corollary 2.3.16 the correspondence between the σ-colimit σ-cone $P\Diamond_W^{op} \xRightarrow{\lambda} \triangle C$ and the σ-colimit w-σ-cone $W \xRightarrow{\nu} \mathcal{C}at(P-, C)$ is given by equations (2.3.15) and (2.3.2), and therefore by the formulas in Remark 1.2.4 and in § 1.1, item 15. Then we have $\nu_A(x)(a) = \lambda_{(x,A)}(a) = c$. □

2.4 ε-ends and cotensors

We will now define the ε-end of a 2-functor $\mathcal{A}^{op} \times \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{T} \mathcal{B}$ (recall that ε stands for σ or s, thus also for p and ℓ, see Remark 2.1.3). The notion of ε-dinatural transformation is obtained, for $\varepsilon = \sigma$, by the requirement of invertibility on the θ_f for $f \in \Sigma$ in § 1.1, item 12. The case $\varepsilon = s$ yields the notion of *strict* dinaturality, that corresponds to $\mathcal{V}$-naturality when $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{C}at$, [10, I.3.1, I.3.5], [16, § 2.1, § 3.10].

Definition 2.4.1. *Let $T : \mathcal{A}^{op} \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a 2-functor and $E \in \mathcal{B}$. A ε-dicone θ (with respect to Σ) for T with vertex E is a ε-dinatural transformation from the 2-functor which is constant at E to T. This amounts to a lax dicone given by a family of morphisms $\{E \xrightarrow{\theta_A} T(A, A)\}_{A\in\mathcal{A}}$ and a family of 2-cells $\{T(A, f)\theta_A \xRightarrow{\theta_f} T(f, B)\theta_B\}_{A \xrightarrow{f} B\in\mathcal{A}}$ such that:*

1. *If $\varepsilon = \sigma$, θ_f is invertible for every f in Σ.*
2. *If $\varepsilon = s$, $\theta_f = id$ for every f.*

For each E, ε-dicones with vertex E form a category $Dicones_\varepsilon(T,E)$, whose arrows are the morphisms as lax dicones.

The ε-end *in $\mathcal{B}$ (with respect to Σ) of the 2-functor T is the universal ε-dicone, denoted*

$$\{\varepsilon\int_A T(A,A) \xrightarrow{\pi_A} T(A,A)\}_{A\in\mathcal{A}}, \qquad \{T(A,f)\pi_A \xRightarrow{\pi_f} T(f,B)\pi_B\}_{A\xrightarrow{f}B\in\mathcal{A}}\,.$$

It is universal in the sense that for each $E\in\mathcal{B}$ postcomposition with π yields an isomorphism of categories

$$\mathcal{B}(E,\varepsilon\int_A T(A,A)) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} Dicones_\varepsilon(T,\,E) \tag{2.4.2}$$

Proposition 2.4.3. *For the 2-functor $\mathcal{A}^{op}\times\mathcal{A}\xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}(E,T(-,-))}\mathcal{C}at$, there is an obvious ε-dicone with vertex $Dicones_\varepsilon(T,E)$. It can be checked that it is universal, therefore there is an isomorphism of categories*

$$Dicones_\varepsilon(T,E) \xrightarrow{\cong} \varepsilon\int_A \mathcal{B}(E,T(A,A)) \tag{2.4.4}$$

As usual, then, the universal property defining $\varepsilon\int_A T(A,A)$ of (2.4.2) is equivalent to stating that there is an isomorphism of categories

$$\mathcal{B}(E,\varepsilon\int_A T(A,A)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \varepsilon\int_A \mathcal{B}(E,T(A,A)) \tag{2.4.5}$$

commuting with the ε-dicones. □

It is convenient to have at hand the explicit definition of the dual concept ε-coend.

Definition 2.4.6. *ε-coends are defined as ε-ends in $\mathcal{B}^{op}$, for $T:\mathcal{A}^{op}\times\mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathcal{B}$ we define $\varepsilon\int^A T(A,A)=\varepsilon\int_A T^{op}(A,A)$, and we denote the universal ε-dicone by*

$$\{T(A,A) \xrightarrow{\lambda_A} \varepsilon\int^A T(A,A)\}_{A\in\mathcal{A}}, \qquad \{\lambda_B T(B,f) \xRightarrow{\lambda_f} \lambda_A T(f,A)\}_{A\xrightarrow{f}B\in\mathcal{A}}\,.$$

A dual reasoning to the one used for (2.4.5) proves that the universal property defining $\varepsilon\int^A T(A,A)$ can be stated as

$$\mathcal{B}(\varepsilon\int^A T(A,A),E) \xrightarrow{\cong} \varepsilon\int_A \mathcal{B}(T(A,A),E) \tag{2.4.7}$$

We denote the weak concept of *σ-biend* of T, where π^* in Definition 2.4.1 is required to be only an equivalence, by $\sigma\oint_A T(A,A)$.

Proposition 2.4.8. *For 2-functors $P,Q:\mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathcal{B}$, we have the formula*

$$\mathcal{H}om_\varepsilon(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})(P,Q)=\varepsilon\int_A \mathcal{B}(PA,QA) \tag{2.4.9}$$

Proof. It can be readily checked (by a straightforward but necessary arguing) that the following data defines a universal ε-dicone with vertex $\mathcal{H}om_\varepsilon(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})(P,Q)$. Projections are given by $\pi_A(\theta) = \theta_A$ for ε-natural transformations θ, $\pi_A(\rho) = \rho_A$ for modifications ρ. And the structural 2-cells of the ε-cone are given by $(\pi_f)_\theta = \theta_f : Qf\theta_A \Longrightarrow \theta_B Pf$ for $A \xrightarrow{f} B \in \mathcal{A}$, $\theta \in \mathcal{H}om_\varepsilon(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})(P,Q)$. □

Remark 2.4.10. ε-cotensor and ε-tensor products are ε-limits with $\mathcal{A} = 1$. In this case the choice of ε is irrelevant, since $\mathcal{H}om_\varepsilon(1,\mathcal{C}at) = \mathcal{H}om_s(1,\mathcal{C}at)$ for any choice of ε. We identify $1 \xrightarrow{C} \mathcal{C}at$ with $C \in \mathcal{C}at$, $1 \xrightarrow{B} \mathcal{B}$ with $B \in \mathcal{B}$ (note that $1^{op} = 1$), and denote the cotensor product by $\{C,B\}$ and the tensor product by $C \otimes B$. (see also [17, (3.1)]).

Recall that in the base 2-category $\mathcal{C}at$, cotensors and tensors are given by the internal hom and the cartesian product, $\{\mathrm{C,B}\} = \mathcal{C}at(C,B)$, and $C \otimes B = C \times B$.

Corollary 2.4.11. *For $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{W} \mathcal{C}at$, $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{B}$, if $\mathcal{B}$ is cotensored we have*

$$\{W,F\}_\varepsilon = \varepsilon\int_A \{WA, FA\} \tag{2.4.12}$$

Proof. We have the following chain of natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}om_\varepsilon(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}at)(W,\mathcal{B}(B,F-)) &\cong \varepsilon\int_A \mathcal{C}at(WA,\mathcal{B}(B,FA))\\ &\cong \varepsilon\int_A \mathcal{B}(B,\{WA,FA\})\\ &\cong \mathcal{B}(B,\varepsilon\int_A \{WA,FA\})\end{aligned}$$

given by Proposition 2.4.8, definition of cotensor and (2.4.5). Then the corollary follows by Definition 2.2.1. □

With a dual proof we have

Corollary 2.4.13. *For $\mathcal{A}^{op} \xrightarrow{W} \mathcal{C}at$, $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{B}$, if $\mathcal{B}$ is tensored we have*

$$W \otimes_\varepsilon P = \varepsilon\int^A WA \otimes PA \tag{2.4.14}$$

□

Remark 2.4.15. For the case $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C}at$, we have

1. For $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{W} \mathcal{C}at$, $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{C}at$, $\{W,F\}_\varepsilon \overset{(2.4.12)}{=} \varepsilon\int_A \mathcal{C}at(WA,FA) \overset{(2.4.9)}{=} \mathcal{H}om_\varepsilon(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}at)(W,F)$
2. For $\mathcal{A}^{op} \xrightarrow{W} \mathcal{C}at$, $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$, $W \otimes_\varepsilon P \overset{(2.4.14)}{=} \varepsilon\int^A WA \times PA$

In particular for $\varepsilon = p$ using (2.3.18) we have the following expressions of a pseudo-coend of tensors as a conical σ-colimit (for the first equality consider $(\mathcal{A}^{op})^{op} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$, $\mathcal{A}^{op} \xrightarrow{W} \mathcal{C}at$, and the first coend as indexed by $\mathcal{A}^{op}$).

Proposition 2.4.16.

$$\underset{(x,A)\in\mathcal{E}l_P^{op}}{\sigma\mathsf{Lim}^{\mathscr{C}_\mathsf{P}}} WA = p\int^A PA \times WA = p\int^A WA \times PA = \underset{(y,A)\in\mathcal{E}l_W^{op}}{\sigma\mathsf{Lim}^{\mathscr{C}_\mathsf{W}}} PA$$

□

2.5 Pointwise limits

We analyze now the computation of weighted ε-limits in functor categories. The following lemma shows that ε-ends are computed pointwise in the 2-functor categories $\mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C})$. The main computations needed for the case of *strict* ends appear in [16, § 2.1] and [10, § I.3.2]. We could refer there for the basis of the proof (namely, diagram (2.5.3) below), however we consider pertinent to give a detailed complete proof.

Lemma 2.5.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}^{op}\times\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{B}\xrightarrow{T}\mathcal{C}$ be a 2-functor, denote by $\widetilde{T}$ the associated 2-functor $\mathcal{A}^{op}\times\mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C})$. Assume that for each $B\in\mathcal{B}$ the ε-end $HB=\varepsilon\int_A T(A,A,B)$ exists. Then this formula defines a unique 2-functor $\mathcal{B}\xrightarrow{H}\mathcal{C}$ in a way such that for each $A\in\mathcal{A}$ the projections $HB\xrightarrow{\pi_{AB}}T(A,A,B)$ are the components of a 2-natural transformation $H\xRightarrow{\pi_A}\widetilde{T}(A,A)$. Moreover, these projections make H the ε-end of $\widetilde{T}$.*

Remark 2.5.2. The commutativity of the following diagrams, all of them immediate to check, will be used in the proof of the lemma. Let $A\xrightarrow{g}B\xrightarrow{h}C$, $D\xrightarrow{f}E$ be arrows of a 2-category $\mathcal{C}$. Then the following diagrams are commutative

$$1.\quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(C,D)\times\mathcal{C}(B,C) & \xrightarrow{\circ} & \mathcal{C}(B,D) \\ {\scriptstyle f_*\times id}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_*} \\ \mathcal{C}(C,E)\times\mathcal{C}(B,C) & \xrightarrow{\circ} & \mathcal{C}(B,E) \end{array} \qquad 2.\quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(C,D)\times\mathcal{C}(B,C) & \xrightarrow{\circ} & \mathcal{C}(B,D) \\ {\scriptstyle id\times g^*}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g^*} \\ \mathcal{C}(C,D)\times\mathcal{C}(A,C) & \xrightarrow{\circ} & \mathcal{C}(A,D) \end{array}$$

$$3.\quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(C,D)\times\mathcal{C}(A,B) & \xrightarrow{h^*\times id} & \mathcal{C}(B,D)\times\mathcal{C}(A,B) \\ {\scriptstyle id\times h_*}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \circ} \\ \mathcal{C}(C,D)\times\mathcal{C}(A,C) & \xrightarrow{\circ} & \mathcal{C}(A,D) \end{array}$$

Proof. (of Lemma 2.5.1) Let $B,C\in\mathcal{B}$, let us denote by π_{fB} the structural 2-cells of the end HB (see Definition 2.4.1). Consider the following diagram, which expresses (provided the arrow H_{BC} exists) the 2-naturality in B of π_{AB}

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B}(B,C) & \xrightarrow{\exists! H_{BC}} & \mathcal{C}(HB,HC) \\ {\scriptstyle \widetilde{T}(A,A)_{BC}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\pi_{AC})_*} \\ \mathcal{C}(T(A,A,B),T(A,A,C)) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{AB}^*} & \mathcal{C}(HB,T(A,A,C)) \end{array} \tag{2.5.3}$$

Note that there exists a unique H_{BC} rendering the diagram commutative because π^*_{AC} are the projections of a ε-end by (2.4.5), and the compositions $\pi^*_{AB}\widetilde{T}(A,A)_{BC}$, together with the 2-cells $\widetilde{T}(A,A)\pi_{fB}$ for each $A\xrightarrow{f}A'$ in $\mathcal{A}$, are a ε-cone.

To show that this defines a 2-functor H, we have to see that for each $B,C,D\in\mathcal{B}$ the following diagrams are commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B}(C,D)\times\mathcal{B}(B,C) & \xrightarrow{\circ} & \mathcal{B}(B,D) \\ {\scriptstyle H_{CD}\times H_{BC}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle H_{BD}} \\ \mathcal{C}(HC,HD)\times\mathcal{C}(HB,HC) & \xrightarrow{\circ} & \mathcal{C}(HB,HD) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \xrightarrow{id_B} & \mathcal{B}(B,B) \\ & {\scriptstyle id_{HB}}\searrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle H_{BB}} \\ & & \mathcal{C}(HB,HB) \end{array}$$

The second diagram is easily seen to commute if followed by $(\pi_{AB})_*$ for every A, which suffices since these are the projections of a ε-end by (2.4.5). To show the commutativity of the first diagram, by the same reason it is enough to check that it commutes followed by $(\pi_{AD})_*$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then using the definition of H_{BD} (see (2.5.3)) and the commutativity of the first diagram in Remark 2.5.2, it suffices to check that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{B}(C,D) \times \mathcal{B}(B,C) & \xrightarrow{\circ} & \mathcal{B}(B,D) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle H_{CD}\times H_{BC}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \widetilde{T}(A,A)_{BD}} \\
\mathcal{C}(HC,HD) \times \mathcal{C}(HB,HC) & & \mathcal{C}(T(A,A,B),T(A,A,D)) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle (\pi_{AD})_*\times id} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi^*_{AB}} \\
\mathcal{C}(HC,T(A,A,D)) \times \mathcal{C}(HB,HC) & \xrightarrow{\circ} & \mathcal{C}(HB,T(A,A,D))
\end{array}$$

Now use the definition of H_{CD}, the commutativity of the third diagram in Remark 2.5.2 and then the definition of H_{BC} to obtain that the diagram above commutes if the following one does:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{B}(C,D) \times \mathcal{B}(B,C) & \xrightarrow{\circ} & \mathcal{B}(B,D) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \widetilde{T}(A,A)_{CD}\times\widetilde{T}(A,A)_{BC}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \widetilde{T}(A,A)_{BD}} \\
\mathcal{C}(T(A,A,C),T(A,A,D)) \times \mathcal{C}(T(A,A,B),T(A,A,C)) & \xrightarrow{\circ} & \mathcal{C}(T(A,A,B),T(A,A,D)) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle id\times\pi^*_{AB}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi^*_{AB}} \\
\mathcal{C}(T(A,A,C),T(A,A,D)) \times \mathcal{C}(HB,T(A,A,C)) & \xrightarrow{\circ} & \mathcal{C}(HB,T(A,A,D))
\end{array}$$

which is easily seen to commute using the 2-functoriality of $\widetilde{T}(A,A)$ and the second diagram in Remark 2.5.2.

For each $A \xrightarrow{f} A'$ in $\mathcal{A}$, the structural 2-cells π_{fB} are the components of a modification π_f. It remains to show that π_A, π_f is the ε-end of $\widetilde{T}$. Given a 2-functor $\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{R} \mathcal{C}$ and a ε-dicone $R \xRightarrow{\theta_A} \widetilde{T}(A,A)$, $\widetilde{T}(A,f)\theta_A \xrightarrow{\theta_f} \widetilde{T}(f,A')\theta_{A'}$, for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$ we have a unique $RB \xrightarrow{\varphi_B} HB$ such that $\pi_{AB}\varphi_B = \theta_{AB}$, $\pi_{fB}\varphi_B = \theta_{fB}$. To show that this yields a 2-natural transformation φ, we have to prove that for each $B, C \in \mathcal{B}$ the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{B}(B,C) & \xrightarrow{R_{BC}} & \mathcal{C}(RB,RC) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle H_{BC}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\varphi_C)_*} \\
\mathcal{C}(HB,HC) & \xrightarrow{\varphi^*_B} & \mathcal{C}(RB,HC)
\end{array}$$

Once again, to see that it commutes, it suffices to show that it does commutes when composed with $(\pi_{AC})_*$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then it can be readily checked using the naturality of θ_A (in B). This shows the 1-dimensional property of the end (i.e. that $\mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C})(R,H) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} Dicones_\varepsilon(\widetilde{T}, R)$ is a bijection at the level of objects). To prove the 2-dimensional property, since by hypothesis it holds for each B, it suffices to check, for a family $\varphi_B \xRightarrow{\rho_B} \varphi'_B$, that they are the components of a modification ρ if and only if the same holds for the family $\pi_{AB}\rho_B$. Then, for each $B \xrightarrow{f} C$ in $\mathcal{B}$, it suffices to check (see axiom **LNM** in § 1.1,

item 11) that $H_{BC}(f)\rho_B = \rho_C R_{BC}(f)$ if and only if $\widetilde{T}(A,A)_{BC}(f)\ \pi_{AB}\ \rho_B = \pi_{AC}\ \rho_C\ R_{BC}(f)$, which can be proved using the definition of H_{BC} and the fact that π_{AC} are the projections of a ε-end. □

We will now use the previous lemma to analyze the pointwise computation of weighted ε-limits. For any choice of α, β, we will prove that β-limits of strict diagrams are computed pointwise in the Hom_α functor categories. More precisely:

Proposition 2.5.4. *Let α, β in L as in Remark 2.2.10 (note that here we do not ask for any condition on α and β). Then weighted β-limits are computed pointwise in the 2-functor 2-category $\mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C})$, and are preserved by the inclusion 2-functor $\mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{H}om_\alpha(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C})$. This means precisely that given 2-functors $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{W} \mathcal{C}at$, $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C})$, if the β-limits $\{W, ev_B F\}_\beta$ exist in $\mathcal{C}$ for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$, this gives rise to a 2-functor $\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{C}$ that satisfies $GB = \{W, ev_B F\}_\beta$, $G = \{W, iF\}_\beta$, i.e. G is the β-limit of iF weighted by W in $\mathcal{H}om_\alpha(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C})$ (thus in particular G is the β-limit of F weighted by W in $\mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C})$).*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{W} \mathcal{C}at$, $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C})$, then the definition of G is by the composition (see Remark 2.2.10):

$$G : \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{ev_{(-)}} \mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C}),\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{F^*} \mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\{W,-\}_\beta} \mathcal{C}$$

Clearly $GB = \{W, ev_B F\}_\beta$. Denote the composition $ev_B F$ by $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{(F-)B} \mathcal{C}$. For a 2-functor $\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{H} \mathcal{C}$ and pair of objects $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$, consider the composition $\mathcal{C}(HB,(F-)B') = \mathcal{C}(HB,-) \circ (F-)B'$. Then the definition $L(B,B') = \mathcal{C}(HB,(F-)B')$ determines a 2-functor $L : \mathcal{B}^{op} \times \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}at)$ whose α-end indexed by B is computed pointwise by Lemma 2.5.1. We have the following chain of natural isomorphisms (cf. [16, § 3.3]):

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}om_\alpha(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C})(H,G) &\cong \alpha\int_B \mathcal{C}(HB,\{W,(F-)B\}_\beta) \\
&\cong \alpha\int_B \mathcal{H}om_\beta(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}at)(W,\mathcal{C}(HB,(F-)B)) \\
&\cong \mathcal{H}om_\beta(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}at)(W,\alpha\int_B \mathcal{C}(HB,(F-)B)) \\
&\cong \mathcal{H}om_\beta(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}at)(W,\mathcal{H}om_\alpha(\mathcal{B},\mathcal{C})(H,F-))
\end{aligned}$$

justified respectively by (2.4.9), (2.2.2), (2.4.5) together with Lemma 2.5.1, and (2.4.9). □

Remark 2.5.5. In general, s-limits are not computed pointwise in $\mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$, see [3, Example 6.2] for a counterexample. The obstruction in the proof above if one tries to prove this statement, is not in the chain of isomorphisms but in the definition of the 2-functor, as we do not have a 2-functor $\mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\{W,-\}_s} \mathcal{C}$, see Remark 2.2.10. For the case of cotensors (see Remark 2.4.10) $\mathcal{A} = 1$, therefore there is no obstruction and we have:

Proposition 2.5.6. *Cotensor products are computed pointwise in $\mathcal{H}om_\varepsilon(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$. This means precisely that for $C \in \mathcal{C}at$, $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{B}$, if $\{C, GA\}$ exist for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ then the formula $\{C,G\}A = \{C, GA\}$ defines a 2-functor that is the cotensor product of C and G in $\mathcal{H}om_\varepsilon(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$.* □

As an immediate corollary, it follows that ε-limits "come out of the second variable" (compare with (2.4.5)). More precisely:

Corollary 2.5.7. *Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{W} \mathcal{C}at$, $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{B}$ be 2-functors, then we have the following equalities naturally in B*

$$\mathcal{B}(B, \{W, F\}_\varepsilon) = \{W, \mathcal{B}(B, F-)\}_\varepsilon, \qquad \mathcal{B}(B, {}_{bi}\{W, F\}_\varepsilon) = {}_{bi}\{W, \mathcal{B}(B, F-)\}_\varepsilon \tag{2.5.8}$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.5.6 we have, naturally for $C \in \mathcal{C}at$,

$$\mathcal{C}at(C, \mathcal{H}om_\varepsilon(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at)(W, \mathcal{B}(B, F-)) \cong \mathcal{H}om_\varepsilon(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at)(W, \mathcal{C}at(C, \mathcal{B}(B, F-)))$$

By (2.2.2) for the ε-limit $\{W, F\}_\varepsilon$, we also have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{C}at(C, \mathcal{B}(B, \{W, F\}_\varepsilon)) \cong \mathcal{C}at(C, \mathcal{H}om_\varepsilon(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at)(W, \mathcal{B}(B, F-))$$

The we have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{C}at(C, \mathcal{B}(B, \{W, F\}_\varepsilon)) \cong \mathcal{H}om_\varepsilon(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at)(W, \mathcal{C}at(C, \mathcal{B}(B, F-)))$$

showing that $\mathcal{B}(B, \{W, F\}_\varepsilon)$ satisfies the universal property of $\{W, \mathcal{B}(B, F-)\}_\varepsilon$ (see Definition 2.2.1) which concludes the proof.

The case of bilimits is analogous. □

It is a classical result that any $\mathcal{S}et$-valued functor has a canonical expression as a colimit of representable functors. We now establish a 2-categorical version of this result.

2.5.9. The expression of a $\mathcal{C}at$-valued 2-functor as a σ-bicolimit of representable 2-functors. Consider a 2-functor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$, and the Yoneda embedding $\mathcal{A}^{op} \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at)$, $hA = \mathcal{A}(A, -)$. Recall the Pseudo Yoneda Lemma ([27, (1.9)], see [8, 1.1.18] for a proof): for any 2-functor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{Q} \mathcal{C}at$ there is an equivalence of categories, natural in Q:

$$\mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at)(\mathcal{A}(A, -), Q) \longrightarrow QA$$

Let us denote the 2-functor (with variable $*$) given by the left side of this expression by $\mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at)(\mathcal{A}(*, -), Q) : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}at$. Then the equivalences above yield a pseudo-equivalence, that is an equivalence in $\mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at)$ between this 2-functor and Q. Now, let a 2-functor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$, then we have the following chain of natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at)(P, \mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at)(\mathcal{A}(*, -), Q)) &\cong p\int_A \mathcal{C}at(PA, \mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at)(\mathcal{A}(A, -), Q)) \\
&\cong p\int_A \mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at)(PA \otimes \mathcal{A}(A, -), Q) \\
&\cong \mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at)(p\int^A PA \otimes \mathcal{A}(A, -), Q)
\end{aligned}$$

justified by (2.4.9), Proposition 2.5.6 and (2.4.7). Note that by Corollary 2.4.13 and Proposition 2.5.6 we have $p\int^A PA \otimes \mathcal{A}(A, -) = P \otimes_p h$, with this pseudo-colimit existing and being

computed pointwise by Proposition 2.5.4. Using Pseudo Yoneda, and (2.3.18) it follows that for every P we have

$$P \approx p\int^{A} PA \otimes \mathcal{A}(A,-) = P \otimes_p h = \underset{(x,A)\in\mathcal{E}l_P^{op}}{\sigma\mathsf{Lim}^{\mathscr{C}_P}} \mathcal{A}(A,-) \tag{2.5.10}$$

where the equivalence denoted with $\approx$ is a pseudo-equivalence, that is an equivalence in $\mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}at)$. In particular, since σ-bilimits are defined up to equivalence, it follows that P is a σ-bilimit in $\mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}at)$ of a diagram in $\mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}at)$ of representable 2-functors.

2.5.11. Interchange of ε-limits. Consider 2-functors $\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{I}\xrightarrow{F}\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{A}\xrightarrow{W}\mathcal{C}at$, and the induced 2-functor $\mathcal{I}\xrightarrow{F_{(-)}} Hom_s(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$, $F_i = F(-,i):\mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathcal{B}$. Then the following holds:

$$\{W, \underset{i\in\mathcal{I}}{\varphi\mathsf{Lim}}\ F_i\}_\varepsilon = \underset{i\in\mathcal{I}}{\varphi\mathsf{Lim}}\ \{W,F_i\}_\varepsilon, \tag{2.5.12}$$

in other words weighted ε-limits commute with conical σ-limits. We note that the same proof would work for arbitrary weighted ε'-limits instead of conical σ-limits. For the sake of clarity we choose to show this particular case, which is the only one we need in this paper.

To show the validity of (2.5.12), by the usual reasoning it suffices to show it for the case $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{C}at$. Note that $\underset{i\in\mathcal{I}}{\varphi\mathsf{Lim}}\ F_i$ exists and is computed pointwise by Proposition 2.5.4. Then, using Remark 2.4.15, equation (2.5.12) becomes

$$\mathcal{H}om_\varepsilon(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}at)(W, \underset{i\in\mathcal{I}}{\varphi\mathsf{Lim}}\ F_i) = \underset{i\in\mathcal{I}}{\varphi\mathsf{Lim}}\ \mathcal{H}om_\varepsilon(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}at)(W,F_i)$$

which holds by (2.5.8) (considering in that equation $\varepsilon=\sigma$ and $W=\triangle 1$).

To deduce the general case consider an arbitrary $B\in\mathcal{B}$, apply the functor $\mathcal{B}(B,-)$ to equation (2.5.12), and use equation (2.5.8) repeatedly. □

The matter of commutativity of weighted pseudolimits with conical σ-colimits, which is (as it is usual) a much deeper subject, is treated in [9] for $\mathcal{C}at$-valued 2-functors (see Theorem 4.2.4).

3 σ-filtered 2-categories

We fix throughout this section a 2-category $\mathcal{C}$ and a 1-subcategory Σ of $\mathcal{C}$ such that Σ contains all the objects of $\mathcal{C}$. Note that this amounts to a family, that we will also denote by Σ, of arrows of $\mathcal{C}$ such that all the identities belong to Σ and Σ is closed by composition. We don't ask Σ to contain neither the isomorphisms nor the equivalences of $\mathcal{C}$.

3.1 The notion of σ-filtered

Recall that a non empty 1-category is filtered if and only if every finite diagram has a cone (see [23, § VII.6]). This happens if and only if two particular diagrams, corresponding to binary products and equalizers, have a cone (the two usual axioms of filtered category).

In the 2-dimensional case the notion of filteredness has been considered under the name of *bifiltered* in [20], and 2-*filtered* in [11], and it holds if and only if every finite diagram has a *pseudocone* (see [6]).

We introduce now the concept of 2-filteredness with respect to a family Σ. It generalizes the definition of bifiltered in [20], which corresponds to the case where Σ consists of all the arrows of $\mathcal{A}$.

Notation 3.1.1. We add a circle to an arrow $\cdot \multimap \cdot$ to indicate that it belongs to Σ.

Definition 3.1.2. *We say that a pair $(\mathcal{C},\ \Sigma)$ is σ-filtered, or for brevity, that $\mathcal{C}$ is σ-filtered (with respect to Σ), if it is non empty and the following hold:*

σ**F0**. *Given $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$, there exist $E \in \mathcal{C}$ and morphisms* $A \xrightarrow{f} E \xleftarrow{g} B$.

σ**F1**. *Given $A \underset{g}{\overset{f}{\rightrightarrows}} B \in \mathcal{C}$, there exist a morphism $B \xrightarrow{h} E$ and a 2-cell $hf \overset{\alpha}{\Longrightarrow} hg$.*
If $f \in \Sigma$, we may choose α invertible.

σ**F2**. *Given $A \underset{g}{\overset{f}{\rightrightarrows}} B \in \mathcal{C}$, there exists a morphism $B \xrightarrow{h} E$ such that $h\alpha = h\beta$.*

We say that $\mathcal{C}$ is σ-cofiltered if $\mathcal{C}^{op}$ is σ-filtered. We keep the same labels for the axioms.

Proposition 3.1.3. *Consider the following finite diagrams:*

1.	$\{0,1\} \xrightarrow{F_1} \mathcal{C}$,	$\{C, D\}$
2.	$\{0 \underset{v}{\overset{u}{\rightrightarrows}} 1\} \xrightarrow{F_2} \mathcal{C}$,	$\{C \underset{g}{\overset{f}{\rightrightarrows}} D\}$
3.	$\{0 \underset{v}{\overset{u}{\overset{\theta\Downarrow\ \eta\Downarrow}{\rightrightarrows}}} 1\} \xrightarrow{F_3} \mathcal{C}$,	$\{C \underset{g}{\overset{f}{\overset{\alpha\Downarrow\ \beta\Downarrow}{\rightrightarrows}}} D\}$

Let Σ_1, Σ_2, Σ_3 (respectively) be the family of arrows that are mapped to arrows of Σ, i.e. $\Sigma_i = F_i^{-1}(\Sigma)$. Then, for each i, the category $Cones_\sigma^{\Sigma_i}(F_i, E)$ (recall Definition 2.3.4) is equivalent (naturally in E) to the category $\mathcal{A}_i$ whose objects and arrows are:

$\mathcal{A}_1$ *Objects: Pairs of morphisms* $C \xrightarrow{h} E \xleftarrow{\ell} D$
Arrows: Pairs of 2-cells $f \Longrightarrow f'$, $g \Longrightarrow g'$.

$\mathcal{A}_2$ *Objects: An object consists of a morphism $D \xrightarrow{h} E$ together with a 2-cell $hf \overset{\gamma}{\Longrightarrow} hg$, invertible if $f \in \Sigma$.*
Arrows: 2-cells $h \overset{\eta}{\Longrightarrow} h'$ such that $\gamma'(\eta f) = (\eta g)\gamma$.

$\mathcal{A}_3$ *Objects: Morphisms $D \xrightarrow{h} E$ such that $h\alpha = h\beta$.*
Arrows: 2-cells $h \overset{\eta}{\Longrightarrow} h'$.

Proof. Certainly item 1 requires no proof.

For item 2, we define the equivalence $Cones_{\sigma}^{\Sigma_2}(F_2, E) \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{A}_2$, and leave the verification of the details to the reader. Given a σ-cone θ, define $h = \theta_1$, $\gamma = \theta_v^{-1}\theta_u$. Given a morphism of σ-cones $\theta \xrightarrow{\varphi} \theta'$, define $\eta = \varphi_1$. Then it is easy to check that ϕ is actually surjective on objects, and given $\phi(\theta) \xrightarrow{\eta} \phi(\theta')$, the unique $\theta \xrightarrow{\varphi} \theta'$ such that $\phi(\varphi) = \eta$ is defined by $\varphi_1 = \eta$, $\varphi_0 = \theta'_v(\eta g)\theta_v^{-1}$.

For item 3, define h, γ and η as in item 2, but now since θ is a σ-cone we have $h\alpha = \gamma = h\beta$. Then in this case the condition $\gamma'(\eta f) = (\eta g)\gamma$ for a 2-cell $h \xRightarrow{\eta} h'$ is $(h'\alpha)(\eta f) = (\eta g)(h\alpha)$, which holds by the interchange law. □

The following proposition expresses a basic property of σ-filteredness and it is a generalization of [23, § VII.6, Lemma 1] to the 2-dimensional case. See also [6] where the case of Σ consisting of all the arrows of $\mathcal{C}$ is analyzed.

Notation 3.1.4. For a 2-functor $\Delta \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{C}$, we say that a σ-cone θ with vertex E has *arrows in* Σ if the structural arrows $F(i) \xrightarrow{\theta_i} E$ are in Σ for all $i \in \Delta$.

Proposition 3.1.5. *The following are equivalent*

i) $\mathcal{C}$ is σ-filtered.

ii) Each of the diagrams F_1, F_2, F_3 in Proposition 3.1.3 has a σ-cone (with respect to $F^{-1}(\Sigma)$) with arrows in Σ.

iii) Every finite 2-diagram $\Delta \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{C}$ (i.e. every 2-functor $\Delta \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{C}$ with Δ a finite 2-category) has a σ-cone (with respect to $F^{-1}(\Sigma)$) with arrows in Σ.

Proof. *iii)* $\Rightarrow$ *ii)* is trivial. *ii)* $\Rightarrow$ *i)* follows from the description of the σ-cones in Proposition 3.1.3. To show *i)* $\Rightarrow$ *iii)*, suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ is σ-filtered and let $\Delta \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{C}$ be a finite 2-diagram. Since Δ is finite, by axiom σ**F0**, we have morphisms $\left\{ Fi \xrightarrow{\theta_i} E \right\}_{i \in \Delta}$.

We will modify E and the arrows θ_i by going further, in order to have a σ-cone with arrows in Σ. We will do this one arrow u of Δ at a time. Using axiom σ**F1**, there is a morphism $E \xrightarrow{h} E'$ and a 2-cell $\theta_j F(u) \xRightarrow{\theta_u} \theta_i$, invertible if $F(u) \in \Sigma$. We denote E' by E again, the compositions $h\theta_i$ by θ_i, and $h\theta_u$ by θ_u for all the pre-existing θ_u. We repeat the procedure to have $\left\{\theta_j F(u) \xRightarrow{\theta_u} \theta_i\right\}_{i \xrightarrow{u} j \in \Delta}$, with θ_u invertible for all u such that $F(u) \in \Sigma$.

Now we consider the equations **LN0**, **LN1**, **LN2** of § 1.1, item 11 expressing the lax naturality of $F \xRightarrow{\theta} \triangle E$ (see Definition 2.3.4). A similar procedure, considering one equation at a time and using axiom σ**F2** instead of σ**F1**, allows one to go further and make θ a σ-cone with arrows in Σ. □

Remark 3.1.6. The reason why we consider σ-cones with arrows in Σ will be clear in Proposition 3.2.6 below. We note nevertheless that a *weaker* notion of σ-filteredness where we ask that every finite 2-diagram has a σ-cone could also be worth considering in another context.

3.2 Exact 2-functors

Definition 3.2.1. *Consider 2-functors* $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{W} \mathcal{C}at$, $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{H} \mathcal{C}$. *We say that* H *preserves a* σ*-bilimit* ${}_{bi}\{W, F\}_\sigma$ *if* ${}_{bi}\{W, HF\}_\sigma$ *exists, and the canonical map* $H\,{}_{bi}\{W, F\}_\sigma \longrightarrow {}_{bi}\{W, HF\}_\sigma$ *is an equivalence.*

We will define the notion of finite weight and finite bilimit below. We note that there is a more general notion of finite (or finitary) weight ([18, § 4],[28]) which we don't consider here as it is not necessary for our purposes.

Definition 3.2.2.

1. *We say that a 2-functor* $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{W} \mathcal{C}at$ *is a* finite weight *if* $\mathcal{A}$ *is a finite 2-category and for each* $A \in \mathcal{A}$, WA *is a finite category.* A finite bilimit *is a weighted bilimit with finite weight.*

2. *Assume that* $\mathcal{B}$ *is a 2-category with finite weighted bilimits. We say that a 2-functor* $\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{H} \mathcal{C}$ *is* left exact *if it preserves all finite bilimits.*

Note that all finite weighted bilimits are required to exist in the domain category of exact 2-functors, but not necessarily in the codomain category.

Remark 3.2.3. There are some particular finite bilimits which will be relevant to us, as they are related to the σ-bilimits of the finite diagrams considered in Proposition 3.1.3. These are biproducts, biequalizers, biinserters and biequifiers.

We note, though we won't use this fact, that finite biproducts, biequalizers and bicotensor products with $2 = \{0 \to 1\}$ suffice to construct all finite weighted bilimits (The general proof in [26] can be restricted to the finite case, see [5, § 6.2] for details). Since the bicotensor product with 2 can be constructed from the biinserter and the biequifier, the four bilimits above are also sufficient to construct all finite weighted bilimits. In particular we have that a 2-functor H is left exact if and only if these four bilimits exist and are preserved by H.

1. *biinserter*(f, g): for a pair of arrows $C \underset{g}{\overset{f}{\rightrightarrows}} D$, this is the bilimit of the diagram $a \underset{v}{\overset{u}{\rightrightarrows}} b \overset{F_1}{\longmapsto} C \underset{g}{\overset{f}{\rightrightarrows}} D$ weighted by $a \underset{v}{\overset{u}{\rightrightarrows}} b \overset{W_1}{\longmapsto} 1 \underset{1}{\overset{0}{\rightrightarrows}} 2$, see [17, (4.1)] for details. Note that if we consider the category I (consisting of two objects and an isomorphism) instead of 2, the weighted bilimit is the *biequalizer*(f, g) (note that the *biequalizer*(f, g) is also the *biisoinserter*(f, g) see [17, p.308] and [5, Observation 5.23])

2. *biequifier*(α, β): for a pair of 2-cells $C \underset{g}{\overset{f}{\underset{\alpha\Downarrow\ \beta\Downarrow}{\rightrightarrows}}} D$, this is the bilimit of the diagram $a \underset{v}{\overset{u}{\underset{\theta\Downarrow\ \eta\Downarrow}{\rightrightarrows}}} b \overset{F_2}{\longmapsto} C \underset{g}{\overset{f}{\underset{\alpha\Downarrow\ \beta\Downarrow}{\rightrightarrows}}} D$ weighted by $a \underset{v}{\overset{u}{\underset{\theta\Downarrow\ \eta\Downarrow}{\rightrightarrows}}} b \overset{W_2}{\longmapsto} 1 \underset{1}{\overset{0}{\underset{\Downarrow\ \Downarrow}{\rightrightarrows}}} 2$, see [17, (4.5)] for details.

Proposition 3.2.4. *With the definitions above, for* $i = 1, 2$, *the category* $Cones_p^{W_i}(F_i, E)$ *(recall Definition 2.2.1) is equivalent (naturally in* E*) to the category* $\mathcal{B}_i$ *whose objects and arrows are:*

$\mathcal{B}_1$ *Objects: An object consists of a morphism* $E \xrightarrow{h} C$ *together with a 2-cell* $fh \overset{\gamma}{\Longrightarrow} gh$.

Arrows: Natural transformations $h \overset{\eta}{\Longrightarrow} h'$ *such that* $\gamma'(f\eta) = (g\eta)\gamma$.

For the case where we replace 2 *by* I*, we have the same description of* $\mathcal{B}_1$*, except that the* 2*-cell* γ *is required to be invertible.*

$\mathcal{B}_2$ *Objects: Morphisms* $E \xrightarrow{h} C$ *such that* $\alpha h = \beta h$.

Arrows: Natural transformations $h \overset{\eta}{\Longrightarrow} h'$.

Proof. We denote $2 = \{0 \xrightarrow{\ell} 1\}$. Note that a cone $W_1 \overset{\theta}{\Longrightarrow} \mathcal{C}(E, F_1(-))$ amounts to $E \xrightarrow{\theta_a} C$, $E \underset{\theta_b(1)}{\overset{\theta_b(0)}{\xrightarrow[\theta_b(\ell)\Downarrow]{}}} D$, and invertible 2-cells $\theta_a f \overset{\theta_u}{\Longrightarrow} \theta_b(0)$, $\theta_a g \overset{\theta_v}{\Longrightarrow} \theta_b(1)$. The definition of the equivalence $Cones_{\sigma}^{\Sigma_i}(F_i, E) \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{B}_1$ on objects is by the formulas $h = \theta_a$, $\gamma = \theta_v^{-1}\theta_b(\ell)\theta_u$, this is easily seen to be surjective.

A morphism of cones $W_1 \underset{\theta'}{\overset{\theta}{\xrightarrow[\varphi\Downarrow]{}}} \mathcal{C}(E, F_1(-))$ is a modification given by natural transformations $\theta_a \overset{\varphi_a}{\Longrightarrow} \theta'_a$, $\theta_b \overset{\varphi_b}{\Longrightarrow} \theta'_b$, therefore by 2-cells φ_a, $(\varphi_b)_0$, $(\varphi_b)_1$ such that $\theta'_b(\ell)(\varphi_b)_0 = (\varphi_b)_1\theta_b(\ell)$, $\theta'_u(f\varphi_a)\theta_u^{-1} = (\varphi_b)_0$, $\theta'_v(g\varphi_a)\theta_v^{-1} = (\varphi_b)_1$. The definition of ϕ is by the formula $\eta = \varphi_a$, then from the equations above we note that $(\varphi_b)_0$ and $(\varphi_b)_1$ are determined by φ_a, and the condition $\gamma'(f\eta) = (g\eta)\gamma$ is equivalent to the equation $\theta'_b(\ell)(\varphi_b)_0 = (\varphi_b)_1\theta_b(\ell)$. Then φ is full and faithful.

In the case where we replace 2 by I, the formulas are the same, simply note that γ is invertible. If $i = 2$, we consider h, γ, η as in the case $i = 1$, then from the 2-naturality of θ it follows $\alpha h = \gamma = \beta h$ and the proof finishes like the proof of Proposition 3.1.3. □

Remark 3.2.5. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a 2-category. A comparison of the dual of the descriptions of the σ-cones in Proposition 3.1.3 (see (2.3.8)) and the descriptions in Proposition 3.2.4 immediately yields:

1. For $\{0,1\} \overset{G_1}{\longmapsto} \{C, D\}$, $\quad \underset{\sigma}{\varprojlim}\,\mathsf{biLim}\, G_1 = biproduct(C, D)$.

2. (i) For $\{0 \underset{v}{\overset{u}{\rightrightarrows}} 1\} \overset{G_2}{\longmapsto} \{C \underset{g}{\overset{f}{\rightrightarrows}} D\}$, $\quad \underset{\sigma}{\varprojlim}\,\mathsf{biLim}\, G_2 = biequalizer(f, g)$.

   (ii) For $\{0 \underset{v}{\overset{u}{\rightrightarrows}} 1\} \overset{G_2}{\longmapsto} \{C \underset{g}{\overset{f}{\rightrightarrows}} D\}$, $\quad \underset{\sigma}{\varprojlim}\,\mathsf{biLim}\, G_2 = biinserter(f, g)$.

3. For $\{0 \underset{v}{\overset{u}{\xrightarrow[\theta\Downarrow\ \eta\Downarrow]{}}} 1\} \overset{G_3}{\longmapsto} \{C \underset{g}{\overset{f}{\xrightarrow[\alpha\Downarrow\ \beta\Downarrow]{}}} D\}$, and for

   $\{0 \underset{v}{\overset{u}{\xrightarrow[\theta\Downarrow\ \eta\Downarrow]{}}} 1\} \overset{G_3}{\longmapsto} \{C \underset{g}{\overset{f}{\xrightarrow[\alpha\Downarrow\ \beta\Downarrow]{}}} D\}$, $\quad \underset{\sigma}{\varprojlim}\,\mathsf{biLim}\, G_3 = biequifier(\alpha, \beta)$.

It follows that if a 2-functor P is left exact then P preserves the $\underset{\sigma}{\varprojlim}\,\mathsf{biLim}\, G_i$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$ with the 1-subcategories Σ considered above.

The following result is a 2-dimensional version of a result that is known for $\mathcal{S}et$-valued functors, see for example [16, Proposition 4.87].

Proposition 3.2.6. *Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$ be a 2-functor. Let $\Delta \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{E}l_P$ be a 2-functor, and set $\Sigma = F^{-1}(\mathscr{C}_P)$. Assume that $\Diamond_P F$ has a σ-bilimit L in $\mathcal{A}$ that is preserved by P. Then there exist $c \in PL$ and a σ-cone for F with arrows in $\mathscr{C}_P$ with vertex (c, L), which is the σ-bilimit of F.*

Proof. We are going to denote the action of F by $Fi = (a_i, F_i)$ for each $i \in \Delta$, $Fu = (F_u, \sigma_u)$ for each $i \xrightarrow{u} j \in \Delta$, $F\theta = F_\theta$ for each $i \underset{v}{\overset{u}{\underset{\theta\Downarrow}{\rightrightarrows}}} j \in \Delta$.

Consider the σ-bilimit L of the 2-functor $\Delta \xrightarrow{\Diamond_P F} \mathcal{A}$, then L is furnished with a σ-cone $\{L \xrightarrow{h_i} F_i\}_{i\in\Delta}$, $\{F_u h_i \xRightarrow{h_u} h_j\}_{i \xrightarrow{u} j \in \Delta}$. This σ-bilimit is preserved by P, this means that if we denote by E the σ-bilimit of the 2-functor $\Delta \xrightarrow{P\Diamond_P F} \mathcal{C}at$, which is furnished with a σ-cone $\{E \xrightarrow{\pi_i} PF_i\}_{i\in\Delta}$, $\{PF_u\pi_i \xRightarrow{\pi_u} \pi_j\}_{i \xrightarrow{u} j \in \Delta}$, then the comparison functor $PL \xrightarrow{s} E$ such that $\pi_i s = Ph_i$, $\pi_u s = Ph_u$ is an equivalence of categories.

Recall that, by the construction of σ-limits in $\mathcal{C}at$ given in Remark 2.4.15, we have that $E = \mathcal{H}om_\sigma(\Delta, \mathcal{C}at)(\triangle 1, P\Diamond_P F)$ and so:

1. Objects of E are σ-natural transformations between the constant 2-functor $\triangle 1$ and $P\Diamond_P F$. Observe that those transformations correspond to pairs of tuples $(\{x_i\}_{i\in\Delta}, \{\varphi_u\}_{i \xrightarrow{u} j \in \Delta})$ with $x_i \in PF_i$, $PF_u(x_i) \xrightarrow{\varphi_u} x_j$ satisfying the following properties corresponding to axioms **LN0**, **LN1** and **LN2** from § 1.1, item 11:

   LN0. For all $i \in \Delta$, $\quad \varphi_{id_i} = id_{x_i}$

   LN1. For all $i \xrightarrow{u} j \xrightarrow{v} k \in \Delta$, $\quad \varphi_{vu} = \varphi_v PF_v(\varphi_u)$

   LN2. For all $i \underset{v}{\overset{u}{\underset{\theta\Downarrow}{\rightrightarrows}}} j \in \Delta$, $\quad \varphi_v (PF_\theta)_{x_i} = \varphi_u$

2. Arrows of E are modifications. Observe that a modification between $(\{x_i\}_{i\in\Delta}, \{\varphi_u\}_{i \xrightarrow{u} j \in \Delta})$ and $(\{y_i\}_{i\in\Delta}, \{\psi_u\}_{i \xrightarrow{u} j \in \Delta})$ correspond to a tuple $\{x_i \xrightarrow{\xi_i} y_i\}_{i\in\Delta}$ satisfying the property corresponding to axiom **LNM** from § 1.1, item 11:

   LNM. For all $i \xrightarrow{u} j \in \Delta$, $\quad \psi_u PF_u(\xi_i) = \xi_j \varphi_u$

3. $\pi_i(\{x_i\}_{i\in\Delta}, \{\varphi_u\}_{i \xrightarrow{u} j \in \Delta}) = x_i$, $\pi_i(\{x_i \xrightarrow{\xi_i} y_i\}_{i\in\Delta}) = \xi_i$.

4. $(\pi_u)_{(\{x_i\}_{i\in\Delta}, \{\varphi_u\}_{i \xrightarrow{u} j \in \Delta})} = \varphi_u$.

Thus $s(c) = (\{Ph_i(c)\}_{i\in\Delta}, \{(Ph_u)_c\}_{i \xrightarrow{u} j \in \Delta})$.

Now, F determines an object of E $(\{a_i\}_{i\in\Delta}, \{\sigma_u\}_{i \xrightarrow{u} j \in \Delta})$. Since s is an equivalence of categories, there exists an object $c \in PL$ such that we have an invertible modification from $s(c)$ to $(\{a_i\}_{i\in\Delta}, \{\sigma_u\}_{i \xrightarrow{u} j \in \Delta})$, say $\{Ph_i(c) \xrightarrow{\xi_i} a_i\}_{i\in\Delta}$ satisfying that the following diagram commutes in PF_j:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P(F_u h_i)(c) & \xrightarrow{PF_u(\xi_i)} & PF_u(a_i) \\ {\scriptstyle (Ph_u)_c}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma_u} \\ Ph_j(c) & \xrightarrow[\xi_j]{} & a_j \end{array} \tag{3.2.7}$$

We have the following σ-cone for F:

$$\{(c, L) \xrightarrow{(h_i, \xi_i)} (a_i, F_i)\}_{i \in \Delta}, \quad \{(F_u h_i, \sigma_u PF_u(\xi_i)) \overset{h_u}{\Longrightarrow} (h_j, \xi_j)\}_{i \xrightarrow{u} j \in \Delta}.$$

It is straightforward from (3.2.7) that h_u are 2-cells in $\mathcal{E}l_P$.

We leave to the interested reader the verification of the fact that (c, L) is actually a σ-bilimit. In any case, note that in this paper we only need the existence of a σ-cone (with arrows in $\mathscr{C}_P$). □

Proposition 3.2.8. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a 2-category with finite weighted bilimits and let $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$ be a 2-functor. If P is left exact then $\mathcal{E}l_P$ is σ-cofiltered with respect to $\mathscr{C}_P$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.1.5 it suffices to show that each of the diagrams F_1, F_2, F_3 considered in Proposition 3.1.3 has a σ-cone with arrows in $\mathscr{C}_P$. Let $i = 1, 2, 3$, by Remark 3.2.5 $\underset{\longleftarrow}{\sigma\mathsf{biLim}}\, \Diamond_P F_i$ exists in $\mathcal{A}$ and is preserved by P (note that all the possible $\Sigma = F_i^{-1}(\mathscr{C}_P)$ were considered in the remark). Then by Proposition 3.2.6 F_i has a σ-cone with arrows in $\mathscr{C}_P$ and we are done. □

3.3 σ-cofinal 2-functors

In this section we define *σ-cofinal* 2-functors and establish some properties that will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.2.10. Our definition is a 2-dimensional σ-version of the definition in SGA4 for the case when $\mathcal{C}$ is filtered (see [1, 8.1.1]). If $\Sigma = \mathcal{C}_0$, we recover [7, Definition 1.3.1]. We do not deal with a more general concept of σ-cofinality since this particular case is relevant enough and it is the only one that we need in this paper. We leave for future work the development of the full theory of σ-cofinal 2-functors.

Definition 3.3.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{C}'$ be 2-categories and Σ, Σ' 1-subcategories of $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{C}'$ respectively. Suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ is σ-filtered. We say that a 2-functor $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{T} \mathcal{C}'$ is σ-cofinal (with respect to Σ and Σ') if it satisfies:*

- **σC0.** *Given $C' \in \mathcal{C}'$, there exist $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and a morphism $C' \longrightarrow TC$ in $\mathcal{C}'$.*
- **σC1.** *Given $C' \underset{g}{\overset{f}{\rightrightarrows}} TC \in \mathcal{C}'$, there exist a morphism $C \xrightarrow{u} D$ and a 2-cell $T(u)f \overset{\alpha}{\Longrightarrow} T(u)g$. If $f \in \Sigma'$, we may choose α invertible.*
- **σC2.** *Given $C \in \mathcal{C}$, $C' \in \mathcal{C}'$ and 2-cells $C' \underset{g}{\overset{f}{\overset{\Longrightarrow}{\alpha \Downarrow\ \beta \Downarrow}}} TC \in \mathcal{C}'$, there exists a morphism $C \xrightarrow{u} D \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $T(u)\alpha = T(u)\beta$.*

If $\mathcal{C}$ is σ-cofiltered, we say that $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{T} \mathcal{C}'$ is σ-initial if $\mathcal{C}^{op} \xrightarrow{T^{op}} \mathcal{C}'^{op}$ is σ-cofinal. We keep the same labels for the axioms.

The following proposition is the only result concerning σ-cofinal functors that we need in this paper, and it is the analogous to item c) of [1, 8.1.3].

Proposition 3.3.2. *Let $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{C}'$ be 2-categories, $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{T} \mathcal{C}'$ a 2-functor, Σ' a subcategory of $\mathcal{C}'$, and $\Sigma = T^{-1}(\Sigma')$. If the following hold:*

1. *$\mathcal{C}'$ is σ-filtered,*
2. *T is pseudo-fully-faithful,*
3. *Condition σ**C0** from Definition 3.3.1.*

Then $\mathcal{C}$ is σ-filtered and T is σ-cofinal.

Proof. We observe that since T is pseudo-fully-faithful and $\Sigma = T^{-1}(\Sigma')$ we have:

(1) For every arrow $TC \xrightarrow{h} TD$ in $\mathcal{C}'$, there exists $C \xrightarrow{u} D$ such that $T(u) \cong h$.

We are going to check first that axioms σ**C1** and σ**C2** from Definition 3.3.1 are satisfied:

σ**C1**. Given $C \in \mathcal{C}$, $C' \in \mathcal{C}'$, $C' \underset{g}{\overset{f}{\rightrightarrows}} TC \in \mathcal{C}'$, since $\mathcal{C}'$ is σ-filtered, there exist a morphism $TC \xrightarrow{h} D'$ and a 2-cell $hf \xRightarrow{\alpha} hg$, that we may take invertible if $f \in \Sigma'$. Then, by the fact that condition σ**C0** is satisfied, there exist an object $D \in \mathcal{C}$ and a morphism $D' \xrightarrow{l} TD \in \mathcal{C}'$. Now, by **(1)** above, there exists a morphism $C \xrightarrow{u} D$ such that $T(u) \cong lh$ and so we have a 2-cell $T(u)f \cong lhf \xRightarrow{l\alpha} lhg \cong T(u)g$, which is invertible if $f \in \Sigma'$.

σ**C2**. Given $C \in \mathcal{C}$, $C' \in \mathcal{C}'$ and 2-cells $C' \underset{g}{\overset{f}{\rightrightarrows}} TC \in \mathcal{C}'$ ($\alpha \Downarrow$, $\beta \Downarrow$), since $\mathcal{C}'$ is σ-filtered, there exists a morphism $TC \xrightarrow{h} D'$ such that $h\alpha = h\beta$. Then, by the fact that condition σ**C0** is satisfied, there exist an object $D \in \mathcal{C}$ and a morphism $D' \xrightarrow{l} TD$. Now, by **(1)** above, there exists a morphism $C \xrightarrow{u} D$ such that $T(u) \cong lh$. This, together with the fact that $h\alpha = h\beta$ can be used to prove that $T(u)\alpha = T(u)\beta$.

It only remains to check that σ**F0**, σ**F1** and σ**F2** from Definition 3.1.2 are satisfied for the 2-category $\mathcal{C}$:

σ**F0**. Given $C, D \in \mathcal{C}$, since $\mathcal{C}'$ is σ-filtered, there exist morphisms $TC \xrightarrow{f} E'$, $TD \xrightarrow{g} E'$. Then, since T is σ-cofinal, there exist an object $E \in \mathcal{C}$ and a morphism $E' \xrightarrow{h} TE$. Now, by **(1)** above, this yields morphisms $C \xrightarrow{u} E$, $D \xrightarrow{v} E$.

σ**F1**. Given $C \underset{v}{\overset{u}{\rightrightarrows}} D \in \mathcal{C}$, consider $TC \underset{Tv}{\overset{Tu}{\rightrightarrows}} TD \in \mathcal{C}'$. Since T is σ-cofinal, there exist a morphism $D \xrightarrow{w} E$ and a 2-cell $T(wu) = TwTu \xRightarrow{\alpha} TwTv = T(wv)$, that we may take

invertible if $u \in \Sigma$. Then, since T is pseudo-fully-faithful, this gives a 2-cell $uw \Longrightarrow vw$, which is invertible if $u \in \Sigma$.

σ**F2**. Given $C \xrightarrow[\theta\Downarrow\ \eta\Downarrow]{u} D \in \mathcal{C}$, consider $TC \xrightarrow[T\theta\Downarrow\ T\eta\Downarrow]{Tu} TD \in \mathcal{C}'$. Since T is σ-cofinal, there exists a morphism $D \xrightarrow{w} E$ such that $T(w\theta) = TwT\theta = TwT\eta = T(w\eta)$. Then, since T is pseudo-fully-faithful, $w\theta = w\eta$.

□

Proposition 3.3.3. *Let $P, Q : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}at$ be 2-functors, and $P \overset{\eta}{\Longrightarrow} Q$ a pseudonatural transformation. If η_A is full and faithful for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then the induced 2-functor $\mathcal{E}l_P \xrightarrow{T_\eta} \mathcal{E}l_Q$ (recall 1.2.5) is 2-fully-faithful and the 1-subcategories given by the cocartesian arrows satisfy $\mathscr{C}_P = T_\eta^{-1}(\mathscr{C}_Q)$.*

Proof. Recall the formulas in (1.2.6). Let $(\eta_A(x), A) \xrightarrow{(f,\psi)} (\eta_B(y), B)$, consider then $\eta_B(Pf(x)) \xrightarrow{(\eta_f^{-1})_x} Qf\eta_A(x) \xrightarrow{\psi} \eta_B(y)$, since η_B is full and faithful there is a unique $Pf(x) \xrightarrow{\varphi} y$ such that $T_\eta(f, \varphi) = (f, \psi)$. This shows that T_η is 2-fully-faithful (the fact that we have an isomorphism between 2-cells is trivial).

To show that $\mathscr{C}_P = T_\eta^{-1}(\mathscr{C}_Q)$, note that $\eta_B(\varphi) \circ (\eta_f)_x$ is an isomorphism if and only if $\eta_B(\varphi)$ is so, which since η_B is full and faithful happens if and only if φ is an isomorphism. □

Proposition 3.3.4. *Consider now 2-functors $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{H} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$, and the induced 2-functor $\mathcal{E}l_{PH} \xrightarrow{T_H} \mathcal{E}l_P$ as in 1.2.7. If H is 2-fully-faithful, then so is T_H and the 1-subcategories given by the cocartesian arrows satisfy $\mathscr{C}_{PH} = T_H^{-1}(\mathscr{C}_P)$.*

Proof. It is immediate from the formulas $T_H(f, \varphi) = (Hf, \varphi)$, $T_H(\theta) = H\theta$ in (1.2.8). □

4 Flat pseudofunctors and the main theorems

4.1 Flat pseudofunctors

In this subsection we will consider pseudofunctors between 2-categories. Though our objective when starting the research that led to this paper was to have results for 2-functors, it turned out that the correct generality in which to define flat 2-functors is to consider flat pseudofunctors. For 2-categories $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$, we denote by $p\mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ the 2-category of pseudofunctors, pseudonatural transformations and modifications. We refer the reader to the Appendix A for the complete definitions of these concepts, noting that we will not need the explicit formulas of Definition A.1 in this section.

Weighted bilimits for pseudofunctors are considered for example in [27], [12], [21, § 2]. We recall this notion, with an approach more similar to the one of § 2.2, and show some basic results that will be needed later. For the sake of simplicity in the exposition, we consider only the case $\sigma = p$, but we note that we could also define σ-bilimits of pseudofunctors.

Definition 4.1.1. *Given pseudofunctors $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{W} \mathcal{C}at$, $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{B}$, and E an object of $\mathcal{B}$, we denote $pCones^W(F, E) = p\mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at)(W, \mathcal{B}(E, F-))$. This is the category of w-pseudocones (with respect to the weight W) for F with vertex E.*

The bilimit of F weighted by W, denoted ${}_{bi}\{W,F\}_p$ or more precisely $({}_{bi}\{W,F\}_p,\xi)$, is a w-pseudocone ξ with vertex ${}_{bi}\{W,F\}_p$ universal in the sense that

$$\mathcal{B}(B,{}_{bi}\{W,F\}_p)\xrightarrow{\xi^*}p\mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}at)(W,\mathcal{B}(B,F-)) \tag{4.1.2}$$

$$B\ \underset{g}{\overset{f}{\underset{\alpha\Downarrow}{\longrightarrow}}}\ E\quad\longmapsto\quad W\xRightarrow{\xi}\mathcal{B}(E,F-)\ \underset{g^*}{\overset{f^*}{\underset{\alpha^*\Downarrow}{\longrightarrow}}}\ \mathcal{B}(B,F-)$$

is an equivalence of categories (pseudonatural in the variable B).

Bilimits behave pseudofunctorially respect to pseudonatural transformations:

Remark 4.1.3. Let $\mathcal{A}\ \underset{W}{\overset{V}{\underset{\alpha\Downarrow}{\longrightarrow}}}\ \mathcal{C}at$, $\mathcal{A}\ \underset{G}{\overset{F}{\underset{\beta\Downarrow}{\longrightarrow}}}\ \mathcal{B}$ be pseudonatural transformations between pseudofunctors. With the same arguing than the one in Remark 2.2.10 it follows that there are pseudofunctors

$$(p\mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}at)^{op})_+\xrightarrow{{}_{bi}\{-,F\}_p}\mathcal{B},\qquad(p\mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}))_+\xrightarrow{{}_{bi}\{W,-\}_p}\mathcal{B},$$

$$(p\mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}at)^{op}\times p\mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}))_+\xrightarrow{{}_{bi}\{-,-\}_p}\mathcal{B}.$$

where the subscript "+" indicates the full-subcategories with objects such that the corresponding bilimits exist.

As for 2-functors, we refer to equivalences in $p\mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ as pseudo-equivalences. Since pseudofunctors send equivalences to equivalences, we have:

1. If α is a pseudo-equivalence, then ${}_{bi}\{W,F\}_p\xrightarrow{{}_{bi}\{\alpha,F\}_p}{}_{bi}\{V,F\}_p$ is an equivalence.
2. If β is a pseudo-equivalence, then ${}_{bi}\{W,F\}_p\xrightarrow{{}_{bi}\{W,\beta\}_p}{}_{bi}\{W,G\}_p$ is an equivalence. □

Note that the definitions of preservation of bilimits (Definition 3.2.1), and left exact (Definition 3.2.2) make perfect sense for pseudofunctors. From Remark 4.1.3, item 2, it follows:

Corollary 4.1.4. *Let $\mathcal{A}\ \underset{G}{\overset{F}{\underset{\beta\Downarrow}{\longrightarrow}}}\ \mathcal{B}$ be a pseudo-equivalence between pseudofunctors. Then any weighted bilimit preserved by F is also preserved by G. In particular, F is left exact if and only if G is so.* □

Recall that a *Set*-valued functor is flat when its left Kan extension along the Yoneda embedding is left exact (see for example [23, § VII.5]). This notion is considered in [18, § 6] for $\mathcal{V}$-enriched categories in general, and in particular for $\mathcal{V}=\mathcal{C}at$. However, as it is usually the case, the $\mathcal{C}at$-enriched version is too strict, as it is the case with limits, and a *relaxed* version is the important notion.

In Definition 4.1.11 below, we will introduce the notion of *flat* pseudofunctor into $\mathcal{C}at$. The reader should be aware that if $\mathcal{A}\xrightarrow{P}\mathcal{C}at$ is a 2-functor (as we will consider in § 4.2), both Kelly's notion of flat and ours make sense, but are not at all equivalent. We will always be referring to our notion.

A relaxed notion of Kan extension was already considered in [21], where it was denoted pseudo Kan extension. We review the main results while changing the notation into one more

adapted to the one used in this paper. We will use (and therefore choose to define) the left bi-Kan extension.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a 2-category with weighted bicolimits. We will only use the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}at$ in this paper. Given two pseudofunctors $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{H} \mathcal{E}$, consider the composite $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{h} p\mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{E}^{op}, \mathcal{C}at) \xrightarrow{H^*} p\mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{C}at)$ of the bi-Yoneda embedding with the pseudofunctor determined by precomposition with H, which we denote $\mathcal{E}(H, -) = H^* \circ h$. We have:

Definition 4.1.5 ([21, 9.3])**.** *The left (pointwise) bi-Kan extension of P along H is the pseudofunctor $L = Lan_H P : \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ given by the formula $LE = \mathcal{E}(H, E) \ {}_{bi}\otimes_p P$ for $E \in \mathcal{E}$, that is $L = (H^* \circ h) \ {}_{bi}\otimes_p P$ (see Remark 4.1.3).*

The pointwise bi-Kan extension has the following important (but, as it is well known in the strict case, not always characteristic) property:

Proposition 4.1.6 ([21, 9.6])**.** *Given pseudofunctors $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{H} \mathcal{E}$, for each pseudofunctor $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{Q} \mathcal{C}$ we have an equivalence*

$$p\mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{C})(Lan_H P, Q) \xrightarrow[\approx]{r} p\mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})(P, QH) \tag{4.1.7}$$

pseudonatural in Q. □

Remark 4.1.8. Equation (4.1.7) expresses a biadjunction between precomposition with H and Lan_H. The unit of this biadjunction consists of a pseudonatural transformation $P \xRightarrow{\eta} Lan_H P \circ H$, which is given by $\eta = r(id_{Lan_H P})$ in (4.1.7):



It can be seen (following the $id_{Lan_H P}$ in the chain of equivalences in the proof of [21, 9.6]) that $\eta_A = (\nu_{HA})_A(id_{HA})$, where for each $E \in \mathcal{E}$ we denote by ν_E the unit of the bicolimit $(Lan_H P)E = \mathcal{E}(H, E) \ {}_{bi}\otimes P$, $\mathcal{E}(H, E) \xRightarrow{\nu_E} \mathcal{C}(P-, (Lan_H P)E)$.

From Remark 4.1.3, item 1 we have:

Corollary 4.1.9. *Consider pseudofunctors $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P,Q} \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{H} \mathcal{E}$. If there is a pseudoequivalence $P \xRightarrow{\alpha} Q$, then we have $Lan_H P = Lan_H Q$.* □

If H is pseudo-fully-faithful, then the bi-Kan extension is an actual (pseudo) extension:

Proposition 4.1.10 ([21, 9.5])**.** *With the notation of Definition 4.1.5, if H is pseudo-fully-faithful, then the unit η of Remark 4.1.8 is a pseudo-equivalence (recall that this amounts to each η_A being an equivalence of categories).* □

Definition 4.1.11. *Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$ be a pseudofunctor, consider the Yoneda embedding $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A}^{op}, \mathcal{C}at)$, we denote $P^* = Lan_h P$. We say that P is* flat *if P^* is left exact (note that this is well defined by Corollary 4.1.4). Note that, by Proposition 4.1.10, the following diagram commutes up to pseudo-equivalence:*



(4.1.12)

Proposition 4.1.13. *A flat pseudofunctor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$ preserves any finite (weighted) bilimit that exists in $\mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. It follows immediately from (4.1.12) and Corollary 4.1.4 (note that h preserves weighted bilimits by (2.5.8)). □

Consider P, h, P^* as in Definition 4.1.11. For a 2-functor $\mathcal{A}^{op} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{C}at$ the formula $P^*F = \mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A}^{op},\mathcal{C}at)(h,F)_{bi}\otimes_p P$ in Definition 4.1.5 is equivalent by Yoneda to the usual formula $P^*F = F_{bi}\otimes_p P$.

Also for a 2-functor $\mathcal{A}^{op} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{C}at$, from (2.5.10) we have $F \approx F \otimes_p h$. Since this pseudo-colimit is computed pointwise by Proposition 2.5.4, for $A \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $FA \approx F \otimes_p \mathcal{A}(A,-)$. It follows:

Proposition 4.1.14. *The bi-Kan extension of a representable 2-functor $\mathcal{A}(A,-)$ along h can be chosen to be the evaluation $\mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A}^{op},\mathcal{C}at) \xrightarrow{ev_A} \mathcal{C}at$. Since the evaluations are left exact (by Proposition 2.5.4) we have that the representable 2-functors are flat.* □

4.2 The main theorem

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a 2-category with weighted pseudo-colimits. We will only use the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}at$ in this paper.

4.2.1. Consider a 2-functor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}$, and a 2-functor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{H} \mathcal{E}$. Note that we can compute the bi-Kan extension $L = Lan_H P$ of Definition 4.1.5 as a 2-functor $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{L} \mathcal{C}$. The definition of L is given by the formula $LE = \mathcal{E}(H,E)\ {}_{bi}\otimes_p P$, but we can compute it by the equivalent pseudo-colimit $LE = \mathcal{E}(H,E)\otimes_p P$. In other words, L is the composition of the 2-functors (recall Remark 2.2.10)

$$L : \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}(H,-)} \mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A}^{op},\mathcal{C}at) \xrightarrow{(-)\otimes_p P} \mathcal{C} \tag{4.2.2}$$

Remark 4.2.3. Consider 2-functors $\mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C})$, $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}$, and $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{H} \mathcal{E}$. From the results of 2.5.11 we have the equation

$$\mathcal{E}(H,E)\otimes_p \underset{i\in\mathcal{I}}{\sigma\underrightarrow{\mathsf{Lim}}}\, F_i = \underset{i\in\mathcal{I}}{\sigma\underrightarrow{\mathsf{Lim}}}\, \mathcal{E}(H,E)\otimes_p F_i$$

which together with (4.2.2) shows that the left bi-Kan extension commutes with σ-colimits.

The following theorem from [9] is needed in order to show that a σ-filtered σ-colimit of flat 2-functors is flat.

Theorem 4.2.4. *σ-filtered σ-colimits commute with finite (weighted) bilimits in $\mathcal{C}at$. This means precisely that, for a σ-filtered 2-category $\mathcal{A}$, a finite 2-category $\mathcal{I}$, and 2-functors $\mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{I}\xrightarrow{F}\mathcal{C}at$, $\mathcal{I}\xrightarrow{W}\mathcal{C}at$, if W is a finite weight (see Definition 3.2.2) then the canonical comparison functor*

$$\Diamond : \varinjlim_{A\in\mathcal{A}} {}_{bi}\{W, F(A,-)\} \xrightarrow{\approx} {}_{bi}\{W, \varinjlim_{A\in\mathcal{A}} F(A,-)\} \tag{4.2.5}$$

is an equivalence of categories. □

Since by Proposition 2.5.4 σ-colimits in $\mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}at)$ exist and are computed pointwise, we have the following immediate corollary of Theorem 4.2.4

Corollary 4.2.6. *A σ-filtered σ-colimit in $\mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}at)$ of left exact 2-functors is left exact.* □

From Remark 4.2.3 and Corollary 4.2.6 it follows (all σ-colimits below are considered in $\mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{C}at)$):

Corollary 4.2.7. *A σ-filtered σ-colimit of flat 2-functors is flat. In particular, by Proposition 4.1.14, a σ-filtered σ-colimit of representable 2-functors is flat.* □

Lemma 4.2.8. *Let $\mathcal{A}\xrightarrow{P}\mathcal{C}at$, $\mathcal{A}\xrightarrow{H}\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{E}\xrightarrow{L}\mathcal{C}at$ as in 4.2.1. Consider the 1-subcategories $\mathscr{C}_P$ of $\mathcal{E}l_P$, and $\mathscr{C}_L$ of $\mathcal{E}l_L$ as in Definition 1.2.1. Then there exists a canonical 2-functor*

$$T : \mathcal{E}l_P \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}l_L$$

*satisfying (the dual of) axiom σ**C0** in Definition 3.3.1. If H is 2-fully-faithful, then so is T and $\mathscr{C}_P = T^{-1}(\mathscr{C}_L)$.*

Proof. T is defined as the composition of the 2-functors $\mathcal{E}l_P\xrightarrow{T_\eta}\mathcal{E}l_{LH}\xrightarrow{T_H}\mathcal{E}l_L$ considered in propositions 3.3.3 and 3.3.4, where η is the pseudonatural transformation of Remark 4.1.8. Then we have the formula $T(x,A)=(\eta_A(x),HA)$. Let $(c,E)\in\mathcal{E}l_L$, we will show that there is an arrow in $\mathscr{C}_L$ of the form $(\eta_A(x),HA)\xrightarrow{(\theta,id)}(c,E)$.

We have $c\in LE=\mathcal{E}(H-,E)\otimes_p P$, then by Lemma 2.3.19 there exist $A\in\mathcal{A}$, $HA\xrightarrow{\theta}E$ and $x\in PA$ such that $(\nu_E)_A(\theta)(x)=c$.

We consider the following diagram, which commutes by definition of L on the arrow θ (see (4.2.2))

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}(HA,E) & \xrightarrow{(\nu_E)_A} & \mathcal{C}at(PA,LE) \\ {\scriptstyle\theta_*}\uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle(L\theta)_*} \\ \mathcal{E}(HA,HA) & \xrightarrow{(\nu_{HA})_A} & \mathcal{C}at(PA,LHA) \end{array}$$

Element chasing id_{HA} and then evaluating at x, we have the equality

$$c=(\nu_E)_A(\theta)(x)=L\theta\circ(\nu_{HA})_A(id_{HA})(x)\overset{4.1.8}{=}L\theta(\eta_A(x)),$$

which expresses the fact that (θ,id) is an arrow of $\mathcal{E}l_L$ as desired.

If H is 2-fully-faithful, by Proposition 4.1.10 each η_A is full and faithful and then by Propositions 3.3.3 and 3.3.4 both T_η and T_H are 2-fully-faithful and $\mathscr{C}_P=T_\eta^{-1}(\mathscr{C}_{LH})=T^{-1}(\mathscr{C}_L)$. □

Using Proposition 3.3.2 for the 2-functor $T^{op} : \mathcal{E}l_P^{op} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}l_L^{op}$ it follows

Corollary 4.2.9. *Under the hypothesis of Lemma 4.2.8, if $\mathcal{E}l_L$ is σ-cofiltered (with respect to $\mathscr{C}_L$), then $\mathcal{E}l_P$ is σ-cofiltered (with respect to $\mathscr{C}_P$).* □

It is a classical result (see for example [23, § VII.6]) that every flat $\mathcal{S}et$-valued 1-functor is a filtered colimit of representable functors, that, as far as we know (see [18, (6.4)]) has no known generalization to other base categories. Here we extend this result to 2-dimensional category theory. Note that from Theorem 4.2.10 it follows that if a 2-functor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$ is pseudo-equivalent to any σ-filtered σ-colimit of representable 2-functors, then the σ-colimit in its canonical expression (2.5.10) is also σ-filtered.

Theorem 4.2.10. *Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$ be a 2-functor. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) $\mathcal{E}l_P$ is σ-cofiltered with respect to the family $\mathscr{C}_P$ of cocartesian arrows.

(ii) P is pseudo-equivalent to a σ-filtered σ-colimit in $\mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at)$ of representable 2-functors.

(iii) P is flat.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ follows immediately by the canonical expression (2.5.10). $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$ holds by Corollary 4.2.7 (note that flatness is preserved by pseudo-equivalence by Corollary 4.1.9). $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$: If P^* is left exact, by Propositions 2.5.4 and 3.2.8, $\mathcal{E}l_{P^*}$ is σ-cofiltered with respect to $\mathscr{C}_{P^*}$. Then (i) follows by Corollary 4.2.9. □

Note that, since σ-bilimits are defined up to equivalence, item (ii) above is equivalent to

(ii') P is a σ-filtered σ-bicolimit in $\mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at)$ of a diagram in $\mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at)$ of representable 2-functors.

Combining Proposition 4.1.13, Proposition 3.2.8 and the implication $(i) \Rightarrow (iii)$ in the theorem above, it follows:

Proposition 4.2.11. *If $\mathcal{A}$ is finitely complete, then the flat 2-functors $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$ are precisely the left exact ones.* □

References

[1] Artin M., Grothendieck A., Verdier J., *SGA 4, Ch IV, (1963-64)*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 269 (1972).

[2] Bird G.J., *Limits in 2-categories of locally-presented categories*, Ph.D. Thesis, 1984.

[3] Bird G.J., Kelly G.M, Power A.J., *Flexible Limits for 2-Categories*, J. Pure Appl. Alg. 61 (1989).

[4] Buckley M., *Fibred 2-categories and bicategories*, arXiv:1212.6283 (2012).

[5] Canevali N., *2-filtered bicolimits and finite weighted bilimits commute in $\mathcal{C}at$*, degree thesis, `http://cms.dm.uba.ar/academico/carreras/licenciatura/tesis/2016`.

[6] Data M. I., *Una construcción de bicolímites 2-filtrantes de categorías*, degree thesis, `http://cms.dm.uba.ar/academico/carreras/licenciatura/tesis/2014`.

[7] Descotte M.E., *Una teoría de 2-pro-objetos, una teoría de 2-categorías de 2-modelos y la estructura de 2-modelos para 2-$\mathcal{P}ro(\mathcal{C})$*, Ph.D. thesis (2015).

[8] Descotte M.E., Dubuc E.J., *A theory of 2-pro-objects*, Cahiers de Topologie et Géométrie Différentielle Catégoriques, Tome 55 number 1 (2014).

[9] Descotte M.E., Dubuc E.J., Szyld M., *A construction of certain weak colimits and an exactness property of the 2-category of categories*, arXiv:1610.02453 (2016).

[10] Dubuc E. J., *Kan extensions in Enriched Category Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 145 (1970).

[11] Dubuc E. J., Street R., *A construction of 2-filtered bicolimits of categories*, Cahiers de Topologie et Géométrie Différentielle Catégoriques, Tome 47 number 2 (2006).

[12] Fiore T. M., *Pseudo Limits, Biadjoints, and Pseudo Algebras: Categorical Foundations of Conformal Field Theory*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 182 , no. 860 (2006).

[13] Gabriel P., Zisman M., *Calculus of fractions and homotopy theory*, Springer (1967).

[14] Gray J. W., *Formal category theory: adjointness for 2-categories*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 391 (1974).

[15] Grothendieck A., *SGA1 (1960-61)*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 224 (1971).

[16] Kelly G. M., *Basic concepts of enriched category theory*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 64, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York (1982).

[17] Kelly G. M., *Elementary observations on 2-Categorical limits*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. Vol. 39 (1989).

[18] Kelly G. M., *Structures defined by finite limits in the enriched context I*, Cahiers de Topologie et Géométrie Différentielle Catégoriques 23 (1982).

[19] Kelly G. M., Street R., *Review of the elements of 2-categories*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 420 (1974).

[20] Kennison J., *The fundamental localic groupoid of a topos*, J. Pure Appl. Alg. 77 (1992).

[21] Lucatelli Nunes Fernando, On Biadjoint Triangles, Theory and Applications of Categories, Vol. 31, No. 9, (2016).

[22] Mac Lane S., *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, Springer-Verlag New York, Springer, (1971).

[23] Mac Lane S., Moerdijk I, *Sheaves in Geometry and Logic: a First Introduction to Topos Theory*, Springer, New York, (1992).

[24] Power A.J., A General Coherence Result, J. Pure Appl. Alg. 57 (1989).

[25] Pronk D. A., *Etendues and stacks as bicategories of fractions*, Compositio Mathematica, Tome 102, N. 3 (1996).

[26] Street R., *Correction to Fibrations in bicategories*, Cahiers de topologie et géométrie différentielle catégoriques, Tome 28 N. 1 (1987).

[27] Street R., *Fibrations in bicategories*, Cahiers de topologie et géométrie différentielle catégoriques, Tome 21 N. 2 (1980).

[28] Street R., *Limits indexed by category-valued 2-functors* J. Pure Appl. Alg. 8 (1976).

Appendix A The main theorem for pseudofunctors

We will now prove a generalization of Theorem 4.2.10 and Proposition 4.2.11 to $\mathcal{C}at$-valued pseudofunctors $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$ (with $\mathcal{A}$ still a 2-category). We will prove it by applying those results to the 2-functor $\widetilde{P}$ associated to the pseudofunctor P.

We begin by giving the explicit definition of the 2-category $p\mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})$ considered in Section 4. We will use the explicit formulas defining pseudofunctors and pseudonatural transformations. We refer the reader to [21, § 2], [12, §3], [7, §1] among other choices for a more expanded description of the equations below.

Definition A.1. *Let $\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B}$ be 2-categories. A* lax functor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{B}$ *is given by the following data:*

- *For each object $A \in \mathcal{A}$, an object $FA \in \mathcal{B}$.*
- *For each hom-category $\mathcal{A}(A,B)$, a functor $\mathcal{A}(A,B) \xrightarrow{F_{A,B}} \mathcal{B}(FA,FB)$. Whenever possible we will abuse the notation $F_{A,B}$ by F.*
- *For each object $A \in \mathcal{A}$, an invertible 2-cell $\alpha^F_A : id_{FA} \Longrightarrow F(id_A)$.*
- *For each triplet of objects $A,B,C \in \mathcal{A}$, a natural transformation*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}(B,C)\times\mathcal{A}(A,B) & \xrightarrow{F\times F} & \mathcal{B}(FB,FC)\times\mathcal{B}(FA,FB)\ . \\ \downarrow\circ & \alpha^F\Downarrow & \downarrow\circ \\ \mathcal{A}(A,C) & \xrightarrow{\quad F\quad} & \mathcal{B}(FA,FC) \end{array}$$

This natural transformation is given, for each configuration $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$ by 2-cells of $\mathcal{B}$, $FgFf \xRightarrow{\alpha^F_{f,g}} F(gf)$. These data are subject to the axioms

LF0. *For each $A \xrightarrow{f} B$,* $\alpha^F_{f,id_B} \circ (\alpha^F_B Ff) = Ff = \alpha^F_{id_A,f} \circ (Ff\alpha^F_A)$.

LF1. *For each $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \xrightarrow{h} D$,* $\alpha^F_{gf,h} \circ (Fh\alpha^F_{f,g}) = \alpha^F_{f,hg} \circ (\alpha^F_{g,h} Ff)$.

A lax natural transformation θ *between lax functors $\mathcal{A} \underset{G}{\overset{F}{\rightrightarrows}} \mathcal{B}$ is given by families* $\{FA \xrightarrow{\theta_A} GA\}_{A\in\mathcal{A}}$, $\{Gf\theta_A \xRightarrow{\theta_f} \theta_B Ff\}_{A\xrightarrow{f}B\in\mathcal{A}}$ *satisfying the equations (cf. § 1.1, item 11):*

LN0. *For all* $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $\theta_{id_A} \circ \alpha^G_A \theta_A = \theta_A \alpha^F_A$.

LN1. *For all* $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \in \mathcal{A}$, $\theta_{gf} \circ \alpha^G_{f,g} \theta_C = \theta_C \alpha^F_{f,g} \circ \theta_g Ff \circ Gg\theta_f$.

LN2. *For all* $A \underset{g}{\overset{f}{\xrightarrow[\gamma\Downarrow]{}}} B \in \mathcal{A}$, $\theta_B F\gamma \circ \theta_f = \theta_g \circ G\gamma\theta_A$.

A pseudofunctor *is a lax functor F such that the structural 2-cells α^F_A, $\alpha^F_{f,g}$ are all invertible. A* pseudonatural transformation *between lax functors is a lax natural transformation such that the structural 2-cells θ_f are all invertible. Modifications are defined as for 2-functors.*

Now we extend the Definition 1.2.1 of the 2-category of elements to the case of pseudofunctors. We note that this construction is considered in [6], and with greater generality in [4, 3.3.3], where a theory of fibred 2-categories is developed, corresponding to pseudofunctors with values in 2-categories. An idea that is useful to have in mind is that $\mathcal{C}at$-valued pseudofunctors are the 2-dimensional analogous to the "discrete" 1-dimensional fibrations.

Definition A.2. *Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$ be a pseudofunctor. $\mathcal{E}l_P$ is the 2-category with objects, morphisms and 2-cells described exactly as in Definition 1.2.1, but now the structural 2-cells of the pseudofunctor appear in the formulas for composition and identities:*

For $(x, A) \xrightarrow{(f,\varphi)} (y, B) \xrightarrow{(g,\psi)} (z, C)$, the composition is given by the formula

$$(g, \psi)(f, \varphi) = (gf, \psi Pg(\varphi)(\alpha^P_{f,g})^{-1}_x).$$

Identity morphisms are given by $(x, A) \xrightarrow{(id_A, (\alpha^P_A)^{-1}_x)} (x, A)$. 2-cells are composed as in $\mathcal{A}$.

As for 2-functors, we consider the 1-subcategory $\mathscr{C}_P$ of $\mathcal{E}l_P$ whose arrows are (f, φ) with φ an isomorphism.

Remark A.3. The fact that $(x, A) \xrightarrow{(id_A, (\alpha^P_A)^{-1}_x)} (x, A)$ are identities follows from axiom **LF0** in Definition A.1. The fact that the composition of morphisms is associative follows from axiom **LF1**. In both cases the naturality of the structural 2-cells α^P_A, $\alpha^P_{f,g}$ respectively is used. The computations are somewhat lengthy but straightforward so we omit them.

We also extend the results of 1.2.5 and Proposition 3.3.3 to pseudofunctors.

Proposition A.4. *For each lax natural transformation $P \overset{\eta}{\Longrightarrow} Q$ between $\mathcal{C}at$-valued pseudofunctors, there is an induced 2-functor $\mathcal{E}l_P \xrightarrow{T_\eta} \mathcal{E}l_Q$ given by the same formulas* (1.2.6).

Proof. To show that T_η preserves composition of morphisms strictly, consider $(x, A) \xrightarrow{(f,\varphi)} (y, B) \xrightarrow{(g,\psi)} (z, C)$ in $\mathcal{E}l_P$, then the equation we have to show is

$$(gf, \eta_C(\psi Pg(\varphi)(\alpha^P_{f,g})^{-1}_x)\ (\eta_{gf})_x) = (gf, \eta_C(\psi)\ (\eta_g)_y\ Q(g)(\eta_B(\varphi)(\eta_f)_x)\ (\alpha^Q_{f,g})^{-1}_{\eta_A(x)})$$

This equation follows at once from axiom **LN1** in Definition A.1 using the naturality of η_g with respect to the arrow φ. The fact that T_η preserves identities follows immediately from axiom **LN0**. The rest of the verifications of the 2-functoriality are straightforward and identical to the case of 2-functors so we omit them. □

We note that the formulas (1.2.6) are the same formulas of [4, 3.3.12], where it is stated (for a pseudonatural transformation η, though the same proof would work for lax natural instead) that T_η is a morphism of bicategories. In our case computations are simpler, and we have 2-functoriality instead. Proposition 3.3.3 holds for pseudofunctors with the exact same proof:

Proposition A.5. *Let $P, Q : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}at$ be pseudofunctors, and $P \overset{\eta}{\Longrightarrow} Q$ a pseudonatural transformation. If η_A is full and faithful for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then the 2-functor $\mathcal{E}l_P \xrightarrow{T_\eta} \mathcal{E}l_Q$ of Proposition A.4 is 2-fully-faithful and the 1-subcategories given by the cocartesian arrows satisfy $\mathscr{C}_P = T_\eta^{-1}(\mathscr{C}_Q)$.* □

We now recall (see [24, 4.2], or the nLab website on pseudofunctors) the construction of the 2-functor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{P}} \mathcal{C}at$ associated to a pseudofunctor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$. We state only the facts that we will need.

Given a pseudofunctor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$, there is a 2-functor $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{P}} \mathcal{C}at$ and an equivalence in $p\mathcal{H}om_p(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at)$ between P and $\widetilde{P}$, i.e. a pseudo-equivalence $P \overset{\eta}{\Longrightarrow} \widetilde{P}$. The description of $\widetilde{P}$ on objects is as follows, $\widetilde{P}B$ is the category with pairs (f, x) as objects, where $A \xrightarrow{f} B \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x \in PA$, and arrows $(f, x) \xrightarrow{\varphi} (f', x')$ given by an arrow $Pf(x) \xrightarrow{\varphi} Pf'(x')$ in PB. For $B \xrightarrow{g} B' \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $\widetilde{P}g(f, x) = (gf, x)$. The definition of $PA \xrightarrow{\eta_A} \widetilde{P}A$ on objects is $\eta_A(x) = (id_A, x)$, and for the pseudo-inverse $\widetilde{P} \overset{\varepsilon}{\Longrightarrow} P$ we have $\varepsilon_B(f, x) = Pf(x)$.

Now we deduce the equivalence of each of the items of Theorem 4.2.10 for the 2-functor $\widetilde{P}$ with the corresponding statement for the pseudofunctor P:

(i) By Proposition A.5 we have induced 2-functors $\mathcal{E}l_P \xrightarrow{T_\eta} \mathcal{E}l_{\widetilde{P}}$, $\mathcal{E}l_{\widetilde{P}} \xrightarrow{T_\varepsilon} \mathcal{E}l_P$, both 2-fully-faithful and satisfying $\mathscr{C}_P = T_\eta^{-1}(\mathscr{C}_{\widetilde{P}})$, $\mathscr{C}_{\widetilde{P}} = T_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathscr{C}_P)$. In order to show that $\mathcal{E}l_P$ is σ-cofiltered if and only if $\mathcal{E}l_{\widetilde{P}}$ is so, by Proposition 3.3.2 it suffices to show axiom σ**C0** for these 2-functors.

For T_η: given $((f, x), B)$ in $\mathcal{E}l_{\widetilde{P}}$, where $A \xrightarrow{f} B \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x \in PA$, consider $T_\eta(x, A) = ((id_A, x), A) \xrightarrow{(f, id_{Pf(x)})} ((f, x), B)$.

For T_ε: given (x, A) in $\mathcal{E}l_P$, consider $T_\varepsilon((id_A, x), A) = (P(id_A)(x), A) \xrightarrow{(id_A, \varphi)} (x, A)$, where φ is the isomorphism $\varphi : P(id_A)P(id_A)(x) \xrightarrow{P(id_A)(\alpha_A^P)_x^{-1}} P(id_A)(x) \xrightarrow{(\alpha_A^P)_x^{-1}} x$.

(ii) Immediate since we have a pseudo-equivalence $P \overset{\eta}{\Longrightarrow} \widetilde{P}$ and the composition of pseudo-equivalences is a pseudo-equivalence.

(iii) By Corollary 4.1.9, P is flat if and only if $\widetilde{P}$ is so.

Then, when applied to $\widetilde{P}$, Theorem 4.2.10 yields:

Theorem A.6. *Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$ be a pseudofunctor. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) $\mathcal{E}l_P$ is σ-cofiltered with respect to the family $\mathscr{C}_P$ of cocartesian arrows.

(ii) P is pseudo-equivalent to a σ-filtered σ-colimit in $\mathcal{H}om_s(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}at)$ of representable 2-functors.

(iii) P is flat. □

We end the paper showing that, in the presence of finite bilimits, flat pseudofunctors coincide with left exact ones.

Proposition A.7. *If $\mathcal{A}$ is finitely complete, then the flat pseudofunctors $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{C}at$ are precisely the left exact ones.*

Proof. By Corollary 4.1.4, P is left exact if and only if $\widetilde{P}$ is so. By Corollary 4.1.9, P is flat if and only if $\widetilde{P}$ is so. Then the proposition follows from Proposition 4.2.11 applied to $\widetilde{P}$. □